International Journal of Linguistics, Literature and Translation

ISSN: 2617-0299 (Online); ISSN: 2708-0099 (Print)

DOI: 10.32996/ijllt

Journal Homepage: www.al-kindipublisher.com/index.php/ijllt



| RESEARCH ARTICLE

Exceptive Constructions in Najdi Arabic: A Descriptive Analysis

Bader Yousef Alharbi

Department of English Language and Literature, College of Languages and Humanities, Qassim University, Buraidah, Saudi Arabia Corresponding Author: Bader Yousef Alharbi, E-mail: byhrby@qu.edu.sa

ABSTRACT

An exceptive construction is the construction that denotes the exclusion of an entity from a set or generalization. This construction has been scrutinized in several languages, like English, Spanish, and Standard Arabic, but has been largely overlooked in Najdi Arabic (NA). Therefore, this paper aims to provide a detailed descriptive analysis of the basic grammatical properties of NA exceptive constructions. The study first indicates that NA includes three major exceptive types: a full positive exceptive, a full negative exceptive, and an empty exceptive. The first two types have an exceptive reading, whereas the latter has only a restrictive reading. Next, it shows that the NA exceptive marker *?illaa* is a coordinating conjunction, rather than a preposition or a focal adverb. It also reveals that the exception XP in NA exceptives can be from various syntactic categories, excluding finite VPs. Furthermore, it demonstrates that the associate can generally be a universal quantifier phrase, a definite DP, a generic DP, and the quantifier *?aɣlab* 'most', but cannot be an existential quantifier. Lastly, it has been concluded that exceptive phrases (EP) with nominal exceptions are typically located either next to the associate or at the right-periphery, whereas EPs with non-nominal exceptions are located only at the right-periphery. The left-periphery position is not available to EPs in NA exceptives. Hopefully, the present work contributes to the limited typological research on the syntax of exceptive constructions.

KEYWORDS

Exceptive constructions, syntax, Najdi Arabic, theoretical study

ARTICLE INFORMATION

ACCEPTED: 01 August 2025 **PUBLISHED:** 21 September 2025 **DOI:** 10.32996/ijllt.2025.8.9.17

1. Introduction

In the theory of syntax, exceptive constructions are those that express an exclusion or exception to ideas, entities, events, situations, or generalizations (Arnold & Choi, 2003; Polinsky et al., 2024; Potsdam, 2018; Potsdam & Polinsky, 2017, 2019). More specifically, Moutaoukil (2009) states that the exceptive construction "is a relation of exclusion which holds between a set of entities involved in some event and an entity (or a subset of entities) meant to be excluded from this event" (p. 84). As illustrated in (1) from Najdi Arabic (NA)¹, this construction typically involves three main items: an associate, which is typically the quantifier phrase (QP) or the determiner or noun phrase (DP/NP) that the exceptive phrase associates with, an exceptive marker (EM), and an exception XP, which is the constituent that follows the EM. The phrase that contains both the EM and the exception XP is known as an exceptive phrase (EP), and the entire exceptive sentence will be referred to as an exceptive construction. These basic terms will be used throughout this paper for ease and consistency².

Copyright: © 2025 the Author(s). This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC-BY) 4.0 license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). Published by Al-Kindi Centre for Research and Development, London, United Kingdom.

¹ Najdi Arabic is a local Arabic variety spoken largely in the central parts of Saudi Arabia. The Najdi Arabic data in this study were reported based on the speech of people living specifically in the region of Qassim.

² Researchers have used various terms for the items used in exceptive constructions, such *antecedent*, *licenser*, or *correlate* for the associate and the *XP-complement* for the exception XP (see, e.g., Albataineh, 2021; Galal et al., 2019; Moltmann, 1995; Potsdam & Polinsky, 2017; Saeed, 2023; Soltan, 2016; Tahara, 1999).

(1) **kill 7iţ-ţillaab** {Associate} 7aħzar-uu **7illaa** {EM} **yasser** ³ {Exception XP} all the-students came-3.Masc.Pl except Yasser

'All the students came, except Yasser.'

Although the syntax of exceptive constructions has recently attracted attention in several languages—such as English (Hoeksema, 1987, 1995; Potsdam, 2018; von Fintel, 1993), French (O'Neill, 2011), Spanish (Pérez-Jiménez & Moreno-Quibén, 2012), German (Moltmann, 1995), Italian (Seguin, 2024), and even some Arabic varieties (Albataineh, 2021; Galal et al., 2019; Khalaily, 2019; Moutaoukil, 2009; Ryding, 2005; Saeed, 2023; Soltan, 2016; Zabarah, 2017)⁴—it remains largely overlooked in NA. There is no single study that has examined this interesting construction in NA. Therefore, the current paper seeks to provide a detailed descriptive account of the core syntactic properties of NA exceptive constructions⁵. It also attempts to suggest some preliminary claims about these grammatical properties.

The paper proceeds as follows. Section (2) presents the primary types of exceptive constructions in NA. Section (3) discusses the EMs in NA exceptive constructions as well as the categorical status of the EM *?illaa*. Section (4) deals with the exception XP and the associate item in NA exceptive constructions. Section (5) discusses the EP positions in NA exceptives. Section (6) concludes this study and suggests avenues for future research.

2. Types of exceptive constructions in NA

As in Classical Arabic, Standard Arabic (SA) (Moutaoukil, 2009; Saeed, 2023; Zabarah, 2017), and other colloquial Arabic varieties, such as Jordanian Arabic (Albataineh, 2021) and Egyptian Arabic (EA) (Soltan, 2016), NA has three main types of exceptive constructions: a full positive exceptive as in (1), a full negative exceptive as in (2), and an empty exceptive as in (3).

- (2) kill 7iṭ-ṭillaab maa ħẓar-uu 7illaa yasser all the-students Neg came-3.Masc.Pl except Yasser 'All the students did not come, except Yasser.'
- (3) maa ħzar 7illaa yasser Neg came.3.Sg except Yasser 'Only Yasser came.'

The full positive type includes all three main items of exceptive constructions: the associate, the EM, and the exception XP. The full negative type involves all three main items together with the negative marker *maa* 'not'. In contrast, the empty type lacks the associate item; this is the key feature that distinguishes this type from the other two types. It should be pointed out that this latter type must always be negative. If the negative marker *maa* 'not' is omitted, the sentence will be ungrammatical, as in (4).

(4) *ħẓar ʔillaa yasser came.3.Sq except Yasser

It appears that both of the full types convey an exceptive meaning; that is, the EPs in these constructions subtract an entity from the set of entities introduced by the associate item (i.e., the QP) (see, e.g., Hoeksema, 1987, 1995; Potsdam, 2018; Seguin, 2024; von Fintel, 1993). For instance, the EP *?illaa yasser* 'except Yasser' in (1) excludes Yasser from the set of students who came, whereas in (2) it excludes Yasser from the set of students who did not come. On the contrary, the empty type appears to have merely a restrictive meaning due to the fact that it lacks the associate item from which an exception is made. Thus, the sentence in (3) denotes that *Yasser is the only one who came*. It seems that the EM *?illaa* in this empty type has a proposition similar to the NA restrictive marker *bass* 'only', as shown in (5). That is why Saeed (2023) uses the term "restrictive construction" for this empty type in SA. Since this empty type denotes a restrictive, rather than exceptive, reading, it will not be examined in the present study. It will be left for future research because it deserves a separate examination.

(5) bass yasser ħzar

³ The following abbreviations are used in this paper: 1 = first person, 3 = third person, AP = adjective phrase, AdvP = adverb phrase, COMP = complementizer, CP = clause phrase, DP = determiner phrase, EA = Egyptian Arabic, EM = exceptive marker, EP = exceptive phrase, Ex = exceptive, ExP = exceptive phrase, Masc = masculine, NA = Najdi Arabic, Neg = negative, NP = noun phrase, PI = plural, PP = prepositional phrase, PST = past, QP = quantifier phrase, SA = Standard Arabic, Sg = singular, VP = verb phrase.

⁴ Zabarah's (2017) work was merely a contrastive study of the traditional sources on exceptive constructions in Classical Arabic. ⁵ It should be emphasized that the goal of this paper is not to provide a detailed theoretical account for the NA exceptive

only Yasser came.3.Sg 'Only Yasser came.'

3. Exceptive markers in NA and the categorical status of ?illaa

Generally speaking, the various Arabic varieties vary with respect to the number of exceptive markers (EM) that they have. To demonstrate, the EMs in SA include ?illaa, xala, \$ada, ħaša, yeer, and siwa (Albataineh, 2021; Saeed, 2023). Soltan (2016) also reports that the EMs in Egyptian Arabic are ?illaa, yeer, and maa \$adaa\$. Like EA, but different from SA6, NA has three typical EMs: ?illaa, yeer, and (maa) \$adaa\$, as shown in (6). While the EM ?illaa is very common and used a lot by native NA speakers, the other EMs are much less common. Particularly, the EM (maa) \$adaa\$ is rarely used in NA exceptive constructions; it seems to be used more by the well-educated people.

(6)	a.	<i>kill</i> all 'All the	?iṭ-ṭillaab the-students students came, ex	?aħẓar-uu came-3.Masc.Pl cept Yasser.'	?illaa except	<i>yasser</i> Yasser			
	b.	<i>kill</i> all	?iṭ-ṭillaab the-students	<i>ใล้ทิฺzar-uu</i> came-3.Masc.Pl	maa Sadaa except	<i>yasser</i> Yasser			
		'All the students came, except Yasser.'							
	C.	maa	ħẓar	γeer	yasser				
		Neg	came.3.Sg	except	Yasser				
		'Only Yasser came.'							

As can be seen in the examples in (6), all three EMs must precede the exception XP. The sentences would be ungrammatical if the EM follows the exception XP, as illustrated in (7).

(7)	a.	*kill	7iţ-ţillaab	?aħẓar-uu	yasser	7illaa
		all	the-students	came-3.Masc.Pl	Yasser	except
		'All the	students came, exc	cept Yasser.'		
	b.	*kill	7iț-țillaab	?aħẓar-uu	yasser	maa Sadaa
		all	the-students	came-3.Masc.Pl	Yasser	except
		'All the	students came, exc	cept Yasser.'		
	C.	*maa	ħẓar	yasser	yeer	
		Neg	came.3.Sg	Yasser	except	
		'Only Ya	isser came.'			

Despite the fact that these three NA EMs have largely a similar behavior, the EM yeer has a different grammatical property. As can be seen in (6.c), the NA EM yeer can be used only in the empty type (i.e., the restrictive construction). It cannot be used in the NA full exceptive constructions, as illustrated in (8). This property indicates that the EM yeer is actually a restrictive marker (i.e., it denotes a restrictive meaning), rather than an exceptive marker. It corresponds to the typical NA restrictive marker bass 'only'. This is different from Soltan's (2016) assumption, which states that both the EMs ?illaa and yeer in EA exceptive constructions have the same behavior.

(8)	a.	*kill	7iţ-ţillaab	?aħzar-uu	<i>yeer</i>	yasser				
		all	the-students	came-3.Masc.Pl	except	Yasser				
		'All the	'All the students came, except Yasser.'							
	b.	*kill	7iṭ-ṭillaab	maa	ħzar-uu	yeer	yasser			
		all	the-students	Neg	came-3.Masc.Pl	except	Yasser			
		'All the	students did not	come, except Yasser.'						

⁶ Albataineh (2021) states that exceptive constructions in colloquial Arabic varieties have the same syntactic properties of those in SA; the only difference is that the exception DPs/NPs do not have case markers like those available in SA. As will be shown in this paper, this generalization is inaccurate and cannot be extended to all Arabic varieties.

Given the facts that the NA EMs yeer, and (maa) Sadaa are much less common and that the EM yeer is a restrictive marker, this study will deal only with the typical NA EM ?illaa. This is because the EM ?illaa is mostly used in NA exceptive constructions and is also available in almost all Arabic varieties. The rest of this section discusses the categorical status of the NA EM *?illaa* in much detail.

As for the categorical status of the NA EM ?illaa, cross-linguistic literature offers three possible analyses for the categorical status of EMs. The first possible analysis is that the EMs are prepositions, as suggested by Eastwood (2002) for English and Moltmann (1995) for German, or postpositions as suggested Polinsky et al. (2024) for Japanese. This analysis has been rejected by several researchers (Albataineh, 2021; Galal et al., 2019; O'Neill, 2011; Pérez-Jiménez & Moreno-Quibén, 2012; Soltan, 2016). Using the same arguments presented by Soltan (2016) against the analysis of the EA EM ?illaa as a preposition, I also contend that the NA EM ?illaa cannot be treated as a preposition for three reasons. First, while the typical prepositions in NA can be followed by the clitic form of a pronoun, the NA EM ?illaa can only be followed by the strong form of a pronoun, as shown in (9). Second, while the NA EM ?illaa can select any type of prepositional phrases (PPs) as in (10), some NA prepositions select specific types of PPs as in (11). Lastly, the complement of the NA EM ?illaa can be from various syntactic categories, but the complement of NA prepositions is highly restricted. As illustrated in (12), adjective phrases (AP) can serve as a complement of the NA EM 7illaa but cannot do so with the NA prepositions.

(9)	a. b.	min-k from-you *7illaa-k except-yo	vs. vs.	from	?ant you ?ant you	
(10)	a. b.	7illaa except 7illaa except	foog above fi in	<i>l-baab</i> the-door <i>l-baab</i> the-door		
(11)	a. b.	min from *min from	foog above fi in	<i>l-baab</i> the-door <i>l-baab</i> the-door		
(12)	me Ne 'W	eg I-see	<i>uf-ik</i> -you see you, y	?illaa / (*mi except / fro ou are happy	m	<i>mabsuuţ</i> happy

yasser

maa

(13)

ħattaa

The second possible analysis is that the EMs are focal adverbs. Following Soltan (2016), I assume that the NA EM ?illaa cannot be treated as a focal adverb for two reasons. First, focal adverbs in NA can appear at the beginning of the sentence, as in (13.a), whereas the NA EM ?illaa cannot do so, as in (13.b). Second, while it is possible for NA focal adverbs to follow the focused constituent, as in (14.a), this is not possible with the NA EM ?illaa, as in (14.b).

		even	Yasser	Neg	came.3.Sg				
		'Even Yas	sser did not c	ome.'					
	b.	*7illaa	yasser	maa	ħẓar-uu		kill	7iţ-ţillaal	b
		except	Yasser	Neg	came-3.Ma	asc.Pl	all	the-stud	ents
		'Except Y	asser, all the	students	did not con	ne.'			
(14)	a.	maa	șallaa		yasser	ħattaa			
		Neg	pray.3.Sg		Yasser	even			
		'Yasser d	id not pray e	ven.'					
	b.	*maa	ħẓar-uu		kill	7iţ-ţillaab)	yasser	7illaa
		Neg	came-3.Ma	sc.Pl	all	the-stude	ents	Yasser	except
		'All the st	tudents did n	ot come,	except Yass	ser.'			

iaa

The last possible analysis⁷ is that the EMs are coordinating conjunctions. This analysis has been suggested by numerous researchers for EMs in several languages, such as English, French, Spanish, Tahitian, SA, and EA (see, e.g., Galal et al., 2019; García Álvarez, 2008; Harris, 1982; Hoeksema, 1987, 1995; Pérez-Jiménez & Moreno-Quibén, 2012; Potsdam, 2018; Potsdam & Polinsky, 2017, 2019; Soltan, 2016). Those researchers basically propose that EMs are coordinating conjunctions that join the exception XP with the associate item or with the main clause that contains the associate item. Given the fact that the NA EM *?illaa* is not a preposition nor a focal adverb, and following those numerous researchers, I also assume that the NA EM *?illaa* is a coordinating conjunction. One piece of evidence to underpin this assumption comes from the *island of coordinate structure* (Ross, 1967), which bans the extraction of either conjunct. As illustrated in (15), the extraction of the second conjunct makes the NA exceptive sentence ungrammatical. Another kind of evidence is that the NA EM *?illaa* is like the typical NA coordinating conjunction *wa* 'and' in that they both can be followed only by the strong form of a pronoun, as demonstrated in (16). These two kinds of evidence indicate that the NA EM *?illaa* is a coordinating conjunction, rather than a preposition or a focal adverb.

(15)	Yas	ser c	aħzar-uu ame-3.Ma ne studen	asc.Pl ts except ₋	<i>kill</i> all ca	?iṭ-ṭillaab the-students me.'	?illaa except
(16)	a. b.	?anaa I ?illaa except	wa and ?ant you	<i>ʔant</i> you	vs. vs.	*7anaa *7illaa-k except-you	<i>wa-k</i> and-you

To recap, this section has pointed out that the NA variety includes three EMs: ?illaa, yeer, and (maa) ſadaa. The EMs yeer, and (maa) ſadaa are much less common than the EM ?illaa, and the EM yeer is a restrictive, rather than exceptive, marker. It has also been concluded that the NA EM ?illaa is a coordinating conjunction, rather than a preposition or a focal adverb. The next section discusses the associate item and the exception XP in NA exceptive constructions.

4. Exception XP and associate in NA exceptive constructions

As indicated above, the EP in NA exceptive constructions comprises the EM *?illaa* and the exception XP. The latter is the complement constituent that is selected by the EM. In NA, the EM *?illaa*, which has been analyzed as a coordinating conjunction, is basically transitive; it must be immediately followed by an exception XP. If it is not followed by an exception XP, the NA exceptive sentence will be ungrammatical, as in (17).

(17) *kill ?iṭ-ṭillaab maa ħẓar-uu ?illaa all the-students Neg came-3.Masc.Pl except '*All the students did not come, except.'

Like SA (Albataineh, 2021; Saeed, 2023), EA (Soltan, 2016), Palestinian Arabic (Khalaily, 2019), French (O'Neill, 2011), English (Peters & Westerståhl, 2023; Potsdam & Polinsky, 2017, 2019), Malagasy (Potsdam, 2018), and Japanese (Polinsky et al., 2024), the exception XP in NA exceptive constructions can be from various syntactic categories⁸. It can be a determiner or noun phrase (DP/NP), a prepositional phrase (PP), an adverb phrase (AdvP), an adjective phrase (AP), or a clause phrase (CP), as exemplified in (18.a-e) respectively.

(18)	a.	<i>kill</i> all 'All the stude	?iṭ-ṭillaab the-students nts did not come, ex	<i>maa</i> Neg cept Yasser.'	<i>ħzar-uu</i> came-3.Masc.Pl	?illaa except	yasser Yasser		
	b.	yasser	yi-ʕtimd	Sala	kill	?ixwaan-ih	7illaa		
		Yasser	3-depend	on	all	brother-his	except		
		Sala	saleh						
		on	Saleh						
		'Yasser depends on all his brothers, except on Saleh.'							
	C.	?anaa	7a-guum	badrii	kill	yuum	7illaa		

⁷ Other uncommon analyses are that EMs are comparative complementizers (O'Neill, 2009) or functional exceptive heads (Ex) that project exceptive phrases (ExP) (Albataineh, 2021; Saeed, 2023).

⁸ Unlike these languages, Potsdam and Polinsky (2019) argue that the exception XP in Russian exceptive constructions must always be nominal.

	I	1.Sg-wake up	early	all	day	except				
	?ams yesterday									
	'I wake up earl	y every day, except	yesterday.'							
d.	maa	?a-šuuf-ik	7illaa	mabsuuţ						
	Neg	I-see-you	except	happy						
	'Whenever I se	e you, you are happ	y.'							
e.	yasser	maa	gaal	?ayy	šayy	7abadan				
	Yasser	Neg	said.3.Sg	any	thing	at all				
	7illaa	7inn	saleh	saafar						
	except	COMP	Saleh	travelled.3.Sg						
	'Yasser did not say anything at all, except that Saleh travelled.'									

Regarding the possible occurrence of the exception XP as a verb phrase (VP), some researchers have argued that in languages, like English, French, SA, EA, and Palestinian Arabic, a non-finite VP can serve as an exception XP, whereas a finite VP cannot do so (Albataineh, 2021; Khalaily, 2019; O'Neill, 2019; Saeed, 2023; Soltan, 2016)⁹. Similar to these various languages, I assume that the exception XP in NA exceptive constructions cannot be a finite VP, as in (19.a), but can be a non-finite VP as in (19.b). It should be pointed out that having the exception XP as a non-finite VP is degraded by very few native NA speakers. That is, they may not accept all instances of NA exceptive constructions in which the exception XP is a non-finite VP.

(19)	a.	yasser	maa	sawwaa	<i>?ayy</i>	šayy	7illaa	*naam
		Yasser	Neg	did.3.Sg	any	thing	except	slept.3.Sg
'*Yasser did not do anything, but slept.'								
	b.	yasser	maa	yi-sawwii	?ayy	šayy	7illaa	ya-naam
		Yasser	Neg	3-do.Sg	any	thing	except	3-sleep.Sg
		'Yasser d	loes not d	do anything, bu	t sleep.			

In summary, it has been pointed out that, in NA exceptive constructions, the exception XP, which is selected by the EM *?illaa*, can be from various syntactic categories. It can be a DP/NP, a PP, an AdvP, an AP, or a CP. It has also been made clear that non-finite VPs can serve as an exception XP in NA exceptives, whereas finite VPs cannot do so.

Let us now turn the discussion to the associate item in NA full exceptive constructions. As stated in Section (1), the associate item is the phrase that the EP associates with. In NA exceptive constructions, the associate is typically a universal QP (i.e., the phrase that includes a universal quantifier, like *kill* 'all', ?ayy 'any', and maa 'no', as illustrated in (20)). As can be observed from the examples in (20), a universal quantifier can serve as an associate regardless of the positions of the EP (i.e., whether the EP is adjacent to the associate or not). This is in line with the assumption that states that the associate mostly (and always in certain exceptive constructions¹⁰) denotes a universal quantifier (Hoeksema, 1987, 1995; Moltmann, 1995; von Fintel, 1993).

(20)	a.	kill	7iţ-ţillaab	?aħz̞ar-uu	7illaa	yasser			
		all	the-students	came-3.Masc.Pl	except	Yasser			
		'All the students came, except Yasser.'							
	b.	kill	7iţ-ţillaab	7illaa	yasser	?aħẓar-uu			
		all	the-students	except	Yasser	came-3.Masc.Pl			
		'All the students, except Yasser, came.'							
	C.	yasser	maa	šaaf	?ayy	?aħad			
		Yasser	Neg	saw.3.Sg	any	one			
		7al-yuum	7illaa	saleh					
		the-today	except	Saleh					
		'Yasser did n	'Yasser did not see anyone today, except Saleh.'						

⁹ While those researchers did not discuss in much detail the occurrence of a VP as an exception XP, some of them reported that for some native speakers the occurrence of a VP, even the non-finite type, as an exception XP is degraded (see, e.g., Soltan, 2016).

¹⁰ In the literature, it has been argued that this assumption may not apply to all exceptive constructions due to the distinction between connected and free exceptives. Since this paper is not concerned with the connected and free exceptives, the details of this assumption will not be discussed here. For further discussion on this assumption, see (e.g., Hoeksema, 1987, 1995; Moltmann, 1995; Pérez-Jiménez & Moreno-Quibén, 2012; Soltan, 2016; Tahara, 1999; von Fintel, 1993).

?it-tillaah

(21)

а

*ba\sz

As for the occurrence of other non-universal quantifiers as an associate, the situation is not consistent. To demonstrate, the existential quantifier basz 'some' never serves as an associate in NA exceptive constructions, as shown in (21). However, the quantifier ?aɣlab 'most' can serve as an associate only when the EP is not adjacent to the associate, as in (22.a), but cannot do so when the EP is adjacent as in (22.b). This latter fact is attested in English and EA exceptive constructions (Moltmann, 1995; Soltan, 2016).

vasser

2ahzar-uu

()	u.		ri, illiaab	rtttaa	y asser	ran i an aa
		some	the-students	except	Yasser	came-3.Masc.Pl
		'*Some s	tudents, except Y	asser, came.'		
	b.	*ba\$zฺ	?iṭ-ṭillaab	?aħẓar-uu	7illaa	yasser
		some	the-students	came-3.Masc.Pl	except	Yasser
		'*Some s	tudents came, ex	cept Yasser.'		
(22)	a.	?aɣlab	?an-naas	saafar-uu	7illaa	ћinna
		most	the-people	travelled-3.Masc.Pl	except	: we
		'Most ped	ople travelled, exc	cept us.'		
	b.	*?aɣlab	?an-naas	7illaa	ħinna	saafar-uu
		most	the-people	except	we	travelled-3.Masc.Pl
		'*Most pe	ople, except us, t	ravelled.'		

7illaa

In addition to that, definite DPs can serve as an associate in NA exceptive constructions regardless of the EP positions, as in (23). Unlike NA, it has been argued that definite DPs can occur as an associate in EA, English, and Spanish exceptives only when the associate and the EP appear non-adjacently (Hoeksema, 1987, 1995; Moltmann, 1995; Pérez-Jiménez & Moreno-Quibén, 2012; Soltan, 2016; von Fintel, 1993).

(23)	a.	7anaa	šif-t	7iţ-ţillaab	7illaa	yasser	?ams			
		1	saw-1.Sg	the-students	except	Yasser	yesterday			
	'?I saw t	'?I saw the students, except Yasser, yesterday.'								
b.	b.	?anaa	šif-t	7iţ-ţillaab	?ams	7illaa	yasser			
		1	saw-1.Sg	the-students	yesterday	except	Yasser			
		'I saw the students yesterday, except Yasser.'								

Conversely, generic DPs can occur as an associate in NA exceptives only when the associate and the EP are non-adjacent, as in (24.a). If the EP appears next to the associate, generic DPs cannot occur as an associate, as in (24.b). Similarly, Soltan (2016) argues that generic DPs in EA exceptives cannot license EPs unless the associate and the EP are not adjacent.

(24)	a.	?al-Saskar	ในmuuman	<i>ya-naam</i> -uun	badrii	7illaa	yasser		
		the-soldiers	generally	3-sleep-Masc.Pl	early	except	Yasser		
		'Soldiers generally sleep early, except Yasser.'							
	b.	*?al-Saskar	7illaa	yasser	<i>Sumuuman</i>	,	badrii		
		the-soldiers	except	Yasser	generally	3-sleep-Masc.Pl	early		
		'Soldiers, except Yasser, generally sleep early.'							

In a nutshell, this section has shown that the exception XP in NA exceptives can be from various syntactic categories, excluding finite VPs. It has also been revealed that the associate item in NA exceptives can be a QP with a universal quantifier or a definite DP regardless of the positions of the EP. However, the existential quantifier basz 'some' cannot occur as an associate. Lastly, the quantifier ?aɣlab 'most' and generic DPs can serve as an associate only when the EP and the associate are not adjacent. The following section discusses the possible positions of EPs in NA exceptive constructions.

5. EP positions in NA exceptive constructions

In the literature, it has been revealed that languages generally vary with respect to the positions of EPs. Some languages may have several possible positions for EPs, whereas others have more restricted positions. For instance, EPs in English have three possible positions: next to the associate, in a sentence-initial position, or in a sentence-final position (Hoeksema, 1987; von Fintel, 1993). In EA, EPs can generally appear either next to the associate or at the right-periphery of the sentence (Soltan, 2016). Soltan adds that when the exception XP is not nominal, such as a PP or a CP, the EP in EA appears only at the right-periphery of the sentence. Conversely, the typical position for EPs in SA is the right-peripheral position (Moutaoukil, 2009). In Tahitian, the EP and the associate cannot be adjacent (Potsdam & Polinsky, 2017).

As suggested by Soltan (2016) for EA, the EPs in NA exceptive constructions have two canonical positions: next to the associate or at the right-peripheral position. These two positions are possible only when the exception XP is nominal, as shown in (20.a-b), repeated in (25) for convenience. In contrast, when the exception XP is not nominal, the only available position for EPs is the right-periphery of the sentence, as illustrated in (26-28) for PPs, AdvPs, and CPs, respectively.

(25)			?aħzar-uu came-3.Masc.Pl scept Yasser '			?illaa yas except Yas				
	b.	kill 7iţ-ţ all the-	illaab students dents, except	7illaa except			<i>yasser</i> Yasser		ar-uu e-3.Masc.Pl	
(26)	a.	yasser Yasser ?illaa except 'Yasser dep	yi-Stimd 3-depend Sala on pends on all hi	Sala on saleh Saleh s brothers	s at hom	<i>kill</i> all ne. exc	broth	an-ih ier-his ileh.'	<i>b-l-bait</i> at-the-ŀ	
	b.	* <i>yasser</i> Yasser Sala on	yi-Stimd 3-depend saleh Saleh bends on all hi	ร <i>ala</i> on <i>b-l-bait</i> at-the-	: home	<i>kill</i> all	<i>7ixwa</i> broth	<i>an-ih</i> ier-his	?illaa except	
(27)	a.	?anaa ?ams yesterday 'I wake up e	<i>ʔa-guum</i> 1.Sg-wal every day earl	ke up	<i>kill</i> all yesterd	<i>yuun</i> day ay.'	n bad ear		?illaa except	
	b.	*7anaa badrii early 'I wake up e	<i>?a-guum</i> 1.Sg-wal every day, exc	ce up	<i>kill</i> all rday, ea	<i>yuun</i> day arly.'	n 7ill exc		7ams yesterday	
(28)	a.	yasser Yasser 7illaa except 'Yasser did	maa Neg 7inn COMP not say anyth	gaal said.3.S saleh Saleh ing at all,		a s e tr	ayy ny aafar ravelled.3. aleh trave	_	<i>šayy</i> thing	?abadan at all
	b.	*yasser Yasser 7inn COMP '*Yasser did	<i>maa</i> Neg saleh Saleh d not say anyt	gaal said.3.S saafar travelle hing, exce	d.3.Sg	a 7d a	ayy ny abadan t all travelled,	at all.'	<i>šayy</i> thing	?illaa except

As for the left-peripheral position (i.e., the sentence-initial position), which is usually resulted from the displacement of EPs as in English (Hoeksema, 1987; Soltan, 2016; von Fintel, 1993), Spanish (Pérez-Jiménez & Moreno-Quibén, 2012), Classical Arabic (Zabarah, 2017), SA (Albataineh, 2021; Galal et al., 2019; Khalaily, 2019; Moutaoukil, 2009; Saeed, 2023), and Palestinian Arabic (Albataineh, 2021; Khalaily, 2019)¹¹, this position is not available to EPs in NA exceptive constructions. Empirically speaking, the EP in NA exceptives never appears at the left-periphery of the sentence, regardless of the syntactic category of the exception XP. As demonstrated in (29-30), fronting the EP, which may contain an NP, a PP, an AdvP, or a CP as an exception XP, will yield the NA exceptive sentences ungrammatical. It should be pointed out that the EP fronting is disallowed in both types of NA exceptive constructions (i.e., positive and negative), as shown in (29). These pieces of evidence indicate that NA is similar to other languages, such as EA (Soltan, 2016) and French (O'Neill, 2011), which ban the EP fronting in their exceptive constructions.

¹¹ It should be realized that some of these languages have certain cases where the EP fronting is impossible.

(29)	a.	*7illaa	yasser,	kill	<i>ʔiṭ-ṭillaab</i> the-students		?aħẓar-			
		except	Yasser	all			came-3.Masc.Pl			
		'Except for Yasser, all the students came.'								
	b.	*7illaa	yasser,	kill	7iţ-ţil	laab	<i>maa</i> Neg		?aħẓar-uu	
		except	Yasser	all	the-s	tudents			came-3.Masc.Pl	
		'Except for Yasser, all the students did not come.'								
(30)	a.	*7illaa	Sala	sal	leh,	yasser		yi-Stimd	Sala	
(30)	a.	except	on	Sal		Yasser		3-depend	on	
		kill	7ixwaan-ih	Jai	CII	1 03301		3 depend	OH	
		all	brother-his							
		'Except on Saleh, Yasser depends on all his brothers.'								
	b.	* ?illaa	7ams,	•	naa	7a-guum	3.	badrii	kill	
	D.	except	yesterday	ıuı	luu	1.Sg-wake up		early	all	
			yesterday	'				earry	all	
		yuum								
		day	orday Lwaka i	ın oarlı	, 0) (0 12	, day, '				
	_	'Except yesterday, I wake up early every day.' * ?illaa ?inn saleh saafar. vasser maa								
	C.					saafar,	2 C~	yasser	maa Noo	
		except	COMP		leh	travelled.	3.Sg	Yasser	Neg	
		gaal	?ayy	šay	•	?abadan 				
		said.3.Sg	any	thi	_	at all				
		'Except that Saleh travelled, Yasser did not say anything at all.'								

In short, this section has indicated that, in NA exceptives, the canonical positions for EPs with nominal exceptions are either next to the associate or at the right-periphery. However, when the exception XP is not nominal, the only possible position for EPs is the right-periphery. This section has also shown that the EP fronting in NA exceptive constructions, whether positive or negative, is not allowed irrespective of the category of the exception XP.

6. Conclusions

This study has closely examined the core syntactic properties of NA exceptive constructions and made some preliminary claims about them. First, it has shown that NA involves three main exceptive types: a full positive exceptive, a full negative exceptive, and an empty exceptive. While the two full types have an exceptive meaning, the empty type has only a restrictive meaning. Second, it has been revealed that NA includes three EMs, namely i lada, i weer, and i made i made i made i most common marker in NA exceptives, has been analyzed as a coordinating conjunction, rather than a preposition or a focal adverb. Third, it has been indicated that the exception XP in NA exceptives can be from various syntactic categories, except finite VPs. Fourth, it has been pointed out that, although universal QPs and definite DPs can occur as an associate in NA exceptives regardless of the positions of the EP, the quantifier i most and generic DPs can serve as an associate only when the EP and the associate are not adjacent. The existential quantifier i some never occurs as an associate. Lastly, it has been concluded that, in NA exceptives, EPs with nominal exceptions are generally located either next to the associate or at the right-periphery positions, whereas EPs with non-nominal exceptions are located only at the right-periphery. The EP fronting in NA exceptive is disallowed, irrespective of the category of the exception XP. It is hoped that the present work contributes to the scarce typological research on the syntax of exceptives by examining exceptive constructions in NA, a relatively under-studied language.

Due to the scope of this study, two significant points have been left for future research. First, since this study is descriptive in nature, a more analytical study on the syntax and semantics of NA exceptive constructions is strongly recommended. Second, the assumptions that the empty exceptive type in NA denotes a restrictive reading and that the EM *yeer* is a restrictive marker require an in-depth and separate examination. This study's conclusions may provide a robust foundation for these future research directions.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

ORCID iD: 0000-0001-5965-5333

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers.

References

- [1] Albataineh, H. (2021). The morphosyntax of Arabic exceptives: A minimalist approach. *Journal of Semitic Studies*, 66(2), 441–482. https://doi.org/10.1093/jss/fqab003
- [2] Arnold, B., & Choi, J. (2003). *A guide to Biblical Hebrew syntax*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511610899
- [3] Eastwood, J. (2002). Oxford guide to English grammar. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- [4] Galal, M., Kahane, S., & Safwat, Y. (2019). Exceptive constructions: A dependency-based analysis. In K. Gerdes & S. Kahane (Eds.), *Proceedings of the Fifth International Conference on Dependency Linguistics* (pp. 168–174). Paris, France: Association for Computational Linguistics. https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/W19-7720
- [5] García Álvarez, I. (2008). Generality and exception: A study in the semantics of exceptives [Doctoral dissertation]. Stanford University.
- [6] Harris, Z. (1982). A grammar of English on mathematical principles. New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- [7] Hoeksema, J. (1987). The logic of exception. In A. Miller & J. Powers (Eds.), *Proceedings of the Fourth Eastern States Conference on Linguistics* (pp. 100–113). Columbus, OH: The Ohio State University.
- [8] Hoeksema, J. (1995). The semantics of exception phrases. In J. van der Does & J. van Eick (Eds.), *Quantifiers, logic and languages* (pp. 145–177). Stanford: CSLI Publications.
- [9] Khalaily, S. (2019). *The exceptive construction in Palestinian Arabic* [Unpublished manuscript]. Al-Qasemi College and Zefat College.
- [10] Moltmann, F. (1995). Exception sentences and polyadic quantification. *Linguistics and Philosophy*, 18, 223–280. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00985445
- [11] Moutaoukil, A. (2009). Exceptive constructions: From the Arabic grammatical tradition to functional discourse grammar. *WPFG Special Issue*, 83–96.
- [12] O'Neill, T. (2011). The syntax of ne ...que exceptives in French. In N. Myler & J. Wood (Eds.), NYU Working Papers in Linguistics 3 (pp. 199–230).
- [13] Pérez-Jiménez, I., & Moreno-Quibén, N. (2012). On the syntax of exceptions: Evidence from Spanish. *Lingua*, 122(6), 582–607. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lingua.2012.01.001
- [14] Peters, S., & Westerståhl, D. (2023). The semantics of exceptives. *Linguistics and Philosophy*, 46, 197–235. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10988-022-09349-y
- [15] Polinsky, M., Kurokami, H., & Potsdam, E. (2024). Exceptive constructions in Japanese. In M. Koizumi (Ed.), *Interaction between linguistic and nonlinguistic factors* (pp. 275–310). Berlin, Boston: De Gruyter Mouton. https://doi.org/10.1515/9783110778939-014
- [16] Potsdam, E. (2018). Exceptives and ellipsis. In S. Hucklebridge & M. Nelson (Eds.), *Proceedings of the 48th Annual Meeting of the North East Linguistic Society* (pp. 259–268). Amherst, MA: GLSA.
- [17] Potsdam, E., & Polinsky, M. (2017). A preliminary look at exceptives in Tahitian. In J. Ostrove, R. Kramer, & J. Sabbagh (Eds.), *Asking the right questions: Essays in honor of Sandra Chung* (pp. 28–36). Santa Cruz, CA: Linguistics Research Center.
- [18] Potsdam, E., & Polinsky, M. (2019, May. 7). *Clausal and phrasal exceptives*. The 42nd GLOW Conference, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway.
- [19] Ross, J. (1967). Constraints on variables in syntax [Doctoral dissertation]. Massachusetts Institute of Technology.
- [20] Ryding, K. (2005). A reference grammar of Modern Standard Arabic. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- [21] Saeed, S. (2023). The syntax of exceptive constructions in Arabic. *Acta Linguistica Academica*, 70(1), 38–63. https://doi.org/10.1556/2062.2022.00520
- [22] Seguin, L. (2024). *The syntax and semantics of exceptive-exclusive constructions: A case study of Italian eccetto* [Unpublished manuscript]. University of Maryland. https://ling.auf.net/lingbuzz/008329
- [23] Soltan, O. (2016). On the syntax of exceptive constructions in Egyptian Arabic. In S. Davis & U. Soltan (Eds.), *Perspectives on Arabic linguistics XXVII* (pp. 35–57). John Benjamins Publishing Company.
- [24] Tahara, H. (1999). A note on exceptive use of but and except. Tsukuba English Studies, 18, 129-136.
- [25] von Fintel, K. (1993). Exceptive constructions. Natural Language Semantics, 1, 123-148. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00372560
- [26] Zabarah, H. (2017). From description to prescription: The exceptive in Arabic grammatical theory. *Historiographia Linguistica*, 44(1), 135–163. https://doi.org/10.1075/hl.44.1.04zab