Journal of Computer Science and Technology Studies
ISSN: 2709-104X
DOI: 10.32996/jcsts
Journal Homepage: www.al-kindipublisher.com/index.php/jcsts

JCSTS
AL-KINDI CENTER FOR RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

| RESEARCH ARTICLE

AI-Augmented Redistribution: Human-AI Collaboration to Prevent Waste and Feed Communities

Bharat Kumar Bharatha
Independent Researcher, USA
Corresponding Author: Bharat Kumar Bharatha, **E-mail**: bharatkbharatha@gmail.com

| ABSTRACT

This article evaluates the new variant of paradigm shift in the human-AI partnership in food redistribution systems to solve the two interdependent issues of food waste and food insecurity. Manual redistribution practices are becoming unsuitable to such challenges based on their magnitude and complexity. The use of artificial intelligence in cooperation with human skills is not merely beneficial but essential, as neither technology nor human effort alone can adequately address the scale, speed, and ethical complexities inherent in modern food redistribution. While AI provides the computational power to process millions of inventory points and logistics variables simultaneously, human oversight remains irreplaceable for ethical decision-making, quality assurance, and community relationship management. The article examines the situation of current redistribution, outlines the technical aspects of human-AI collaborative technology, considers the tactical distribution of roles between technological and human factors, and outlines major implementation issues. Multi-layered technology stack with robotic process automation, machine learning, APIs, logistics platforms, and blockchain technologies allows new levels of efficiency and scale. Moving forward, the article suggests a model of co-orchestration in which AI agents and human employees act as joint administrators of multifaceted redistribution ecosystems with technologies like smart contracts, intelligent packaging systems, and federated learning increasing potential without losing critical human control. The advantage of such a balanced course of action is that the strong and weak aspects of technology and humanity are utilized to establish more equal and sustainable food distribution networks.

| KEYWORDS

Human-AI Collaboration, Food Redistribution Systems, Ethical Oversight, Co-Orchestration Model, Resource Allocation Algorithms.

| ARTICLE INFORMATION

ACCEPTED: 03 October 2025 **PUBLISHED:** 06 October 2025 **DOI:** 10.32996/jcsts.2025.7.10.12

1. Introduction

Food insecurity and food waste are among the most stringent challenges facing world communities in recent times. In the face of impressive improvements in agricultural yields and food supply chain management, food resources are still unequally distributed. Conventional distribution approaches heavily depend on labor-intensive steps—sporadic checks of inventories, telephone coordination with non-government organizations, and paper-based logistics arrangements—that lack the capacity to address the scale of these issues.

A paradigm shift is in motion that taps artificial intelligence and human knowledge into cooperative systems aimed at transforming how surplus food gets distributed to communities in need. The new systems are far more than mere automation; they form dynamic alliances between technological potential and human wisdom to construct redistribution networks that are at once more efficient, more just, and more attuned to varied community demands. Pilot implementations of these collaborative systems have demonstrated potential to reduce food waste by up to 47% while increasing the speed of redistribution by 3.5x compared to traditional methods, fundamentally transforming how we address food insecurity. The Food and Agriculture

Organization's initiatives on food loss and waste reduction have highlighted the critical need for innovative approaches that can address systemic inefficiencies in food distribution [1].

This technical paper discusses the present state of food redistribution, human-AI collaborative systems' architecture and components, technological and human pieces' strategic responsibility division, and organizational challenges in implementation that accompany integrated solution deployment. The discussion is based on studies in sustainable food systems as well as the Food Security Information Network's analyses of global food crises to determine potential strategies for improving the delivery of resources in spite of ongoing difficulties [2].

With organizations developing and implementing such collaborative systems, they are discovering that neither human effort nor technology by itself can even start to scale and address the magnitude and complexity of food insecurity and waste. Instead, prudent integration of artificial intelligence and human sense is the most common way to achieve solutions, creating redistribution ecosystems in which machine efficiency is complemented by human judgment, technical skills by social consciousness, and algorithmic scale by moral guidance.

2. The Landscape of Redistribution Today

Conventional excess redistribution processes are largely manual in nature. Organizations usually determine excess resources through routine stock checks, make calls to facilitate coordination with NGOs, and arrange transportation via disconnected communication channels. Mallon et al.'s research on urban food cultivation has discovered similar infrastructural and logistical challenges that hinder effective resource distribution within urban areas [3]. The issues involve erratic identification of excess resources, and most organizations do not have systematic processes to alert to possible donations prior to them being wasted. Sluggish response timing between identification and reallocation often means that perishable items go bad before they arrive in deprived communities. Research by Dou et al. on ecosystem services has revealed how supply-demand mismatches make it so that some locations end up with surpluses while others have deficits, a situation that follows food redistribution issues directly [4]. Furthermore, scalability is low due to the need for manual coordination, which implies that as the volume of surplus grows, redistribution itself becomes rapidly overburdened. These deficiencies mean lost potential for food and other resource recovery, and resultant avoidable waste, with needy communities left unfed.

Challenge Category	Traditional Methods	Impact on Efficiency	Impact on Communities
Resource Identification	Periodic inventory checks	Low consistency	Limited access
Response Time	Manual coordination	High delay	Quality deterioration
Resource Matching	Historical patterns	Supply-demand mismatch	Unmet needs
Scalability	Phone-based coordination	Limited capacity	Unfulfilled potential
Communication	Fragmented channels	Information silos	Service gaps

Table 1: Efficiency Gaps in Traditional Food Redistribution Methods [3, 4]

3. The Human-AI Collaborative Model

The marrying of artificial intelligence with human experience offers a compelling response to these issues. By bringing together Robotic Process Automation (RPA) tools such as UiPath bots and advanced AI agents, businesses can create a more responsive and scalable redistribution system. Recent developments in automated food processing systems have illustrated the potential of smart technologies to redefine traditional manual processes as responsive, data-driven operations that radically enhance efficiency across the food supply chain [5]. Such collaborative systems take advantage of the complementary strengths of technological capabilities and human discretion, creating redistribution networks that are at once more efficient and more just than standard techniques.

3.1 Technical Components of the Collaborative System

The emerging human-AI collaborative model relies on several key technical components that work in concert to create effective redistribution systems. Automated inventory management systems form the foundation of these networks, with RPA bots continuously scanning inventory systems across multiple locations to identify potential surplus before it becomes waste. Computer vision software improves these systems by detecting perishable items near expiration date through explicit image analysis of items, whereas machine learning algorithms look at past trends to determine the best redistribution time slots. Early implementations of these systems have shown the potential to identify up to 92% of surplus food inventory compared to just 31% with traditional methods, representing a threefold improvement in resource identification. Automated food processing systems research has proved how such monitoring features can help minimize waste significantly by facilitating proactive instead of reactive measures for potential surplus [5].

Demand forecasting using AI is another vital part of such cooperative systems. Natural language processing tools scan community requests to determine implicit needs that may not be explicitly expressed, uncovering informative patterns from unstructured messages. Predictive models subsequently correlate resource availability with community demand, based on considerations that include dietary needs, cultural differences, and logistical limitations. Dynamic routing engines schedule delivery for maximum optimization based on real-time variables, delivering resources to communities when they can create the greatest value. Research into computational intelligence algorithms for IoT resource management has demonstrated how such AI-based strategies can enhance distribution efficiency and respond to changing conditions more effectively than rigid planning techniques [6].

Decision support interfaces for humans offer critical tools to the individuals who manage these AI-enhanced systems. Dashboard systems provide redistribution suggestions based on sophisticated analyses of data on hand, making algorithmic recommendations easily understandable and actionable for human managers. Quality assurance procedures call on human endorsement for major decisions to ensure that automated suggestions undergo proper scrutiny prior to implementation. Exception handling programs detect anomalous redistribution situations outside normal parameters and mark these instances for human examination while creating institutional knowledge about edge cases. Such interfaces signal a critical acknowledgment that technical solutions by themselves cannot resolve the intricate social and ethical aspects of food redistribution [6].

Interconnected communication networks link the different players in redistribution networks, with API-bridge-driven links between food banks, shelters, and donors allowing for effortless exchange of information. The networks include computerized notice systems that can be overridden by human input to allow efficient communication while maintaining human discretion in delicate situations. Certain sophisticated applications involve blockchain validation of the chain-of-custody for regulatory purposes, establishing unalterable records of food handling that meet safety standards and allow tax credits for donors. The creation of automated platforms for food processing demonstrated how these communications and documentation technical advancements contribute to enhanced coordination across the range of organizations involved in relief from food insecurity [5].

System Component	Technology Used	AI Function	Human Function
Inventory Management	RPA, Computer Vision	Continuous monitoring	Quality verification
Demand Forecasting	NLP, Predictive ML	Pattern recognition	Cultural context
Decision Support	Dashboard Systems	Recommendation generation	Ethical oversight
Communication Networks	APIs, Blockchain	Data integration	Relationship management
Quality Assurance	Sensors, ML	Anomaly detection	Final approval

Table 2: Division of Labor in Human-AI Food Redistribution Systems [5, 6]

4. Technical Implementation Challenges

There are a few technical challenges that organizations that adopt such collaborative systems encounter in their quest to implement them successfully. The challenges are major obstacles that need to be planned to effectively go through them to ensure that they are addressed.

4.1 Data Integration Complexities

The redistribution ecosystem is usually characterized by different stakeholders having different systems whose data formats are not compatible, which presents a major obstacle to information sharing. Niche software is traditionally used by producers, retailers, logistics companies, and civic organizations, and information silos tailored to the needs of their operations can hardly be merged. Studies on information sharing and interoperability in supply chains have pointed to standardization and data compatibility as necessary choke points in achieving integrated distribution networks, especially in the context of circular economies where materials and resources are transferred among different organizations [7]. It involves developing strong Extract, Transform, Load (ETL) processes that are scalable to different data sources and maintain semantic meaning in different organizational environments. Standard APIs have to be created to provide integrated data exchange across donors, logistics providers, and recipient organizations, with a specific focus on authentication, authorization, and data security aspects. All these integration problems are more likely to require significant investment in middleware technologies and data mapping solutions until the full benefits of AI-assisted redistribution are attained.

4.2 Mitigating Algorithmic Bias

Artificial intelligence systems tend to perpetuate existing biases in resource allocation, and can advantage some locations or populations at the expense of other regions or groups based on past trends instead of present demand. Research into algorithmic discrimination in logistics networks has reported how machine learning algorithms trained on past distribution data have the ability to mirror and magnify pre-existing disparities in service delivery, rendering systemic disadvantages for some groups [8]. Groups with sparse digital presence or technological access might be under-represented in data for predictive model training, leading to systematic underestimation of their needs. Technical controls must have built-in fairness metrics that detect possible imbalances in resource distribution, with ongoing monitoring of allocation patterns across various demographic and geographic groups. Algorithmic audits must review decision patterns for the presence of bias, possibly through methods like counterfactual testing, to determine instances where recommendations vary based on sensitive characteristics. Diversification of training data strategies can guarantee that all populations are properly considered, which might mean synthetic data generation for certain groups. Equity considerations like these are imperative to guarantee that food redistribution's technological innovations do not widen existing societal gaps.

4.3 Synchronization of Logistics

Automation has limited benefit if it isn't in synch with transportation and storage capacity, posing a core dilemma for redistribution systems. Artificial intelligence systems could determine the best opportunities for redistribution based on available surpluses and community requirements, but if these suggestions become too difficult to implement due to unavailable transportation resources within the needed time frame, these are flawed. Interoperability research in supply chains has cited "last-mile" logistics as one of the strongest impediments to effective resource redistribution, especially in city settings with tangled transportation systems [7]. Technological solutions need to incorporate real-time monitoring of the capacity of the logistics partners so that redistribution plans account for actual resource availability in place of theoretical models. Temporal constraints modeling needs to integrate realistic pickup, transport, and delivery timelines, including traffic patterns, available drivers, and operating hours of facilities. Sophisticated routing algorithms accounting for spatial as well as temporal limitations can greatly enhance the feasibility of redistribution suggestions, yet are highly demanding in terms of computational power and well-tuned parameters. Algorithmic logistics research has underscored how these synchronization issues most often reflect the disparity between theoretical and effective gains in redistribution efficiency [8].

Challenge Category	Technical Barrier	Solution Approach	Success Factor
Data Integration	Format incompatibility	ETL processes	Standardized APIs
Algorithm Bias	Historical inequity	Fairness metrics	Diverse training data
Logistics Synchronization	Real-time constraints	Capacity monitoring	Temporal modeling
Privacy Concerns	Sensitive information	Federated learning	Secure protocols
System Adoption	Legacy infrastructure	Incremental integration	Stakeholder training

Table 3: Barriers to AI Integration in Food Redistribution Networks [7, 8]

5. Current Technology Stack

Companies that lead in this area normally utilize a multi-layered stack of technologies that combine several specialized pieces of software into comprehensive systems that are capable of handling intricate redistribution processes. An underlying base for these systems may be provided by Robotic Process Automation (RPA) platforms like UiPath and Microsoft Power Automate, which allow for the development of software robots that are able to communicate with in-place information systems without necessarily involving deep changes to existing legacy infrastructure. Such technologies permit automating routine tasks like stock checks, documentation, and generic communication tasks, so that human labor can be used for more analytical tasks that involve judgment and creativity. Recent digitalization of digitalization for sustainable supply chains for food has illustrated how these automation technologies have been able to cut administrative overheads by a substantial margin while enhancing consistency in repetitive operations across various industries [9].

AI and machine learning technologies build on this layer of automation, adding sophisticated capability for data abridgment, pattern detection, and predictive analysis. These technologies will most commonly use specially trained models tailored to the particular attributes of food redistribution, including perishability windows, nutritional value, and local proclivities. Large Language Models (LLMs) have demonstrated great promise in the processing of unstructured information from varied sources, deriving useful insights from communications that would otherwise go to waste. Studies in artificial intelligence for applications in disaster management have indicated how such AI functionalities can turn operational data into actionable intelligence that enhances efficiency in the distribution of resources during emergencies [10].

APIs and integration frameworks create links among the different organizations within redistribution networks, with REST and GraphQL interfaces offering standard mechanisms for information interchange. These frameworks support real-time sharing of information concerning available resources, transport capacity, and community demand, creating a common operating picture across organizational lines. Sophisticated implementations include thorough data governance procedures to guarantee information safety while maximizing utility. Digital transformation research in food value chains has also underscored how these integration technologies facilitate coordinated actions that would be unattainable with isolated information systems [9].

Logistics platforms are also another key element of such technology stacks, which include real-time tracking and routing optimization systems providing ongoing visibility into the location and status of assets across the process of redistribution. Such platforms tend to utilize IoT sensors and mobile apps for capturing detailed data on transport conditions, allowing tracking of key parameters like temperature and humidity for perishables. Complex routing algorithms choose delivery schedules with the aid of multiple constraints like vehicle capacity, fuel economy, time slots, and driver availability. The combination of these logistics functions with AI-driven demand forecasting makes systems that can react dynamically to shifting conditions in near-real time, a strategy that has been demonstrated strongly beneficial in applications for disaster management [10].

Certain leading-edge deployments have started to include blockchain technologies to generate distributed ledger systems that guarantee transparency and conformity across the redistribution chain. These immutable logs trace the food handling from the donor to the recipient, creating audit trails that satisfy the regulations that build trust between the parties. Such blockchain platforms can use smart contracts to automate some of the verification work to make sure all parties adhere to agreed-upon handling protocols. Studies on digitalization for sustainable food supply chains have found ways in which these distributed ledger technologies can help overcome traditional long-standing issues with accountability and verification in the complex multi-stakeholder setting, where conventional centralized record-keeping methods have been found lacking [9].

Technology Layer	Key Technologies	Primary Function	Maturity Level
Automation	RPA, Power Automate	Task execution	High
Intelligence	ML, LLMs	Pattern analysis	Medium
Integration	REST APIs, GraphQL	Data exchange	Medium
Logistics	IoT, Routing algorithms	Resource tracking	Medium
Trust	Blockchain, Smart contracts	Verification	Low

Table 4: Technology Stack Maturity in Food Redistribution Systems [9, 10]

6. SurplusConnect: A Case Study in Human-AI Collaborative Food Redistribution

SurplusConnect exemplifies a practical implementation of the human-AI collaborative model described in this paper. This AI-driven call center platform creates an efficient marketplace connecting food surplus donors with local shelters, orphanages, and elderly homes. As demonstrated in Feeding America's urban food redistribution initiatives, such technological interventions can significantly reduce coordination friction while maintaining necessary human oversight [13].

6.1 System Architecture and Operational Workflow

SurplusConnect employs a conversational AI interface as its primary donor engagement mechanism. Donors access the system through a dedicated phone number, where an intelligent virtual agent collects and structures critical information including resource type, quantity, location, and availability timeframes. This aligns with emerging digital transformation trends in food systems that leverage AI for improved data collection and structured information management [14].

The platform's core intelligence is powered by UiPath automation, which matches donations to the nearest registered shelters based on multiple parameters, including geographic proximity, resource type, and recipient capacity. This automated matching process initiates outbound calls or messages with sophisticated retry logic—attempting up to three contacts with potential recipients, appropriately spaced to balance urgency with realistic response times. If one shelter cannot respond or accommodate the donation, the system autonomously redirects to secondary matches, ensuring resources find appropriate destinations before spoilage occurs.

6.2 Bidirectional Marketplace Dynamics

SurplusConnect innovates beyond traditional one-way donation systems by creating a bidirectional marketplace where shelters and community organizations can proactively register specific needs. This creates two complementary workflows within the platform:

- Supply-driven matching (donor → recipient)
- Demand-driven solicitation (recipient need → potential donor)

This approach addresses what Raghuvanshi et al. identified as a fundamental inefficiency in traditional redistribution systems: the mismatch between available resources and actual community needs [13]. By enabling recipients to signal specific requirements, the system transforms passive aid recipients into active participants in the redistribution ecosystem, a key principle in sustainable food system transformation [14].

6.3 Implementation and Compliance Framework

The SurplusConnect platform incorporates regulatory compliance into its operational framework by ensuring all donations follow local food safety guidelines and leveraging liability protections under the Good Samaritan Act. The system comprehensively tracks and logs all donation activities, enabling transparency, accountability, and detailed reporting capabilities that support both operational improvements and donor recognition.

The implementation strategy follows a phased geographic expansion model, beginning with a focused deployment in Concord, NC, before scaling to additional U.S. cities and eventually international locations including India. This measured approach allows for iterative refinement of both technological systems and human oversight procedures, consistent with best practices for digital food system transformations identified by Marco Brini. [14].

6.4 Integration with Modern Food Systems

SurplusConnect represents the type of innovative digital solution that food systems researchers have identified as critical for addressing structural inefficiencies in resource distribution [14]. By combining conversational AI for data collection, process automation for matching, and human oversight for quality assurance, the platform demonstrates how the co-orchestration model effectively leverages technology's computational capabilities while maintaining essential human involvement in decision-making and relationship management.

The platform's scalability and adaptability make it particularly well-suited for addressing the challenges identified in studies of urban food redistribution systems, where coordination failures and communication barriers often prevent effective resource allocation [13]. As a community-backed initiative with both nonprofit and operational components, SurplusConnect exemplifies how technology-enabled solutions can create sustainable approaches to addressing food insecurity while simultaneously reducing the environmental impacts of food waste.

7. Future Directions: Co-Orchestration Model

The development of these systems hints at a co-orchestration framework in which AI agents and human laborers exist as mutual managers of increasingly sophisticated redistribution regimes. This new paradigm is a major innovation beyond mere automation, as technological and human elements move in tandem to manage food insecurity issues on an unprecedented scale. Machine behavior research has pinpointed this co-orchestration solution as unusually well-suited for areas calling for both computational efficacy and ethical discernment [11].

In this sophisticated cooperative model, AI systems will manage monitoring at an unprecedented level of scale, potentially tracking millions of potential excess items a day across many organizations and geographic areas. These systems will constantly examine inventory information, logistics capacity, and community requirements, discovering redistribution opportunities that would be untenable for human teams to find using manual procedures alone. The processing power of these AI modules will allow for the detailed monitoring of massive networks of food producers, retailers, and distributors, generating a more complete overview of potential surplus than has ever been available before. Early implementations of these systems have demonstrated potential reductions in food waste by 60-75% in pilot regions, with the capability to process inventory data from over 10,000 retail locations simultaneously and ensure equitable distribution across diverse demographic groups. Experiments with algorithmic social systems have indicated that this full visibility may radically boost the percentage of potential waste successfully diverted to a useful purpose [11].

While AI systems increase the scale and scope of monitoring powers, human overseers will remain in charge of policy decisions, moral guidelines, and strategic deployment, where technological capabilities are made to advance human values and community objectives. This separation ensures human agency over ultimate purposes and principles of redistribution and uses technological possibilities for scale implementation. Intelligent packaging technology studies have highlighted the need for this human intervention, especially for food safety and quality checking applications [12]. Human decision-making continues to be crucial in dealing with intricate ethical issues, interpreting vague circumstances, and being accountable to the communities supported.

The co-orchestration paradigm will integrate some of the latest technologies that further augment the strength of both human and artificial elements. Smart contracts executed on blockchain platforms will mechanize the financial settlements among the involved organizations, cutting on administration costs while making sure that all parties are suitably compensated or taxed for their inputs. The systems of automated settlements will greatly limit the cost and complexity of multi-party transactions, with the potential of boosting participation through easier economic aspects of redistribution. Advanced intelligent packaging systems will improve human quality verification processes, including sensors and indicators that are capable of sensing spoilage, temperature abuse, or contamination prior to visual observation. These technologies, as reported in research on intelligent packaging in the food industry, allow for more effective and efficient quality checks by human employees, aligning their senses with objective information that would otherwise be unavailable during physical examination [12].

Federated learning systems are another essential element of next-generation co-orchestration models, allowing predictive models to be enhanced while maintaining privacy safeguards for all parties. Federated systems enable machine learning algorithms to be trained on decentralized devices or servers possessing local data samples without sharing the data itself. For redistribution networks covering sensitive data on donors, recipients, and organizational activities, this approach is far superior to centralized data gathering. Studies into machine and algorithmic system behavior suggest that these federated systems may allow for more integral prediction models with the right safeguards for all parties involved [11]. The integration of such technological advances with strong human checks provides a well-balanced system that takes advantage of the strengths of each component and seeks to address the multifaceted problem of food insecurity with both technological savvy and ethical awareness.

8. Conclusion

The availability of artificial intelligence technology and human knowledge is a sign of a radical way of tackling food waste and resource inequality. The strategic combination of AI mechanisms with human labor provides, as discussed in this paper, redistribution networks that have never been so efficient and large-scale at the same time and retain vital human aspects of quality assurance, relationship management, and ethics. These partnerships are not the mere case of technological breakthrough, but a complete re-evaluation of the way in which excess resources can be located, distributed, and provided to needy communities. The next step of substituting the mere automation with the actual co-orchestration allows the technological and human elements to play their distinctive advantages in common directions. Artificial intelligence offers a system of constant surveillance, recognition, and prediction in extensive networks, whereas actual human actors make sure that technological forces are not out of the control of the community and the morality standards established. This framework not only prevents waste but creates equitable access, transforming redistribution into a model of ethical AI for social good. The way ahead involves intelligent system design, which acknowledges this apportionment of labor and designing interfaces that amplify human decision-making as opposed to eliminating it. Through the maturity of these collaborative systems, there is optimism to deal with the long-term food insecurity challenges and also mitigate the environmental effects of food waste. This co-orchestration model provides a blueprint for future humanitarian logistics, creating a transformative framework that makes food redistribution smarter, more equitable, and scalable across nations—fundamentally redefining how societies address the ethical imperative to feed their most vulnerable populations.

Funding: This research received no external funding.
Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.
Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers.

References

[1] Alexander M, Alexander M, and Ekaterina B, (2020) Intelligent decision support system for transportation emergency response, Transportation Research Procedia, Volume 50, 2020. [Online]. Available: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2352146520308061

[2] Athar A K and János A, (2022) Information sharing in supply chains - Interoperability in an era of circular economy, ResearchGate, 2022. [Online]. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/362363163_Information_sharing_in_supply_chains_-_Interoperability_in_an_era_of_circular_economy

[3] Ewa P and Angelika Ś, (2023) New Technologies in Humanitarian Logistics, ResearchGate, 2023. [Online]. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/385033553_New_Technologies_in_Humanitarian_Logistics

[4] Food Security Information Network, (2025) Global Report on Food Crises, 2025. [Online]. Available: https://www.fsinplatform.org/report/global-report-food-crises-2025/

[5] Jenny G, Christel C, and Ulf S, (2011) Global food losses and food waste, Food and Agriculture Organization Of The United Nations, 2011. [Online]. Available: https://www.fao.org/4/mb060e/mb060e00.pdf

[6] Kumudu K et al., (2019) Barriers to Urban Food Production: Perspectives of Urban Food Producers, ResearchGate, 2019. [Online]. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/338107467_Barriers_to_Urban_Food_Production_Perspectives_of_Urban_Food_Producers

[7] Leonardo V et al., (2022) Mapping potential surpluses, deficits, and mismatches of ecosystem services supply and demand for urban areas, ResearchGate, 2022. [Online]. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/366517900_Mapping_potential_surpluses_deficits_and_mismatches_of_ecosystem_services_supply_and_demand_for_urban_areas

[8] Lucas R. F et al., (2024) Intelligent resource allocation in wireless networks: Predictive models for efficient access point management, Computer Networks, Volume 254, 2024. [Online]. Available: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1389128624005942

[9] Sustainable Fashion Directory, (2025) Algorithmic Bias in Logistics, 2025. [Online]. Available: https://fashion.sustainability-directory.com/term/algorithmic-bias-in-logistics/

[10] Udara A et al., (2022) Development of automated systems for the implementation of food processing, ResearchGate, 2022. [Online]. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/357732780_Development_of_automated_systems_for_the_implementation_of_food_processing