Journal of Computer Science and Technology Studies
ISSN: 2709-104X
DOI: 10.32996/jcsts
Journal Homepage: www.al-kindipublisher.com/index.php/jcsts

JCSTS
AL-KINDI CENTER FOR RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

| RESEARCH ARTICLE

Quantum Computing Systems with Qubit Technology: A Technical Overview

Mahesh Yadlapati
Saicon Consultants Inc, USA
Corresponding Authors: Mahesh Yadlapati, **E-mail**: yadlapatimahesh807@gmail.com

| ABSTRACT

This article provides a comprehensive technical examination of quantum computing systems based on qubit technology, exploring their revolutionary potential to transform computational capabilities beyond classical limitations. Beginning with an analysis of the fundamental quantum mechanical principles—superposition, entanglement, and quantum interference—the article elucidates how these phenomena enable exponential computational advantages for specific problem domains. Various physical implementations of qubits are evaluated, including superconducting circuits, trapped ions, photonic systems, and theoretical topological approaches, with each platform presenting unique advantages and engineering challenges. It extends to practical applications across cryptography, optimization, and artificial intelligence, where quantum computing promises transformative capabilities. However, significant obstacles remain, including decoherence, high error rates, scalability limitations, and the ongoing development of practical quantum algorithms. Despite these challenges, the quantum computing landscape is evolving toward a hybrid paradigm where quantum and classical resources work in concert, with specialized quantum processors likely to deliver commercial value in specific domains before universal quantum computers become a reality.

| KEYWORDS

Quantum computing, qubit technology, quantum supremacy, quantum error correction, NISQ

| ARTICLE INFORMATION

ACCEPTED: 10 April 2025 **PUBLISHED:** 23 April 2025 **DOI:** 10.32996/jcsts.2025.7.2.27

Introduction

Quantum computing systems based on qubit technology represent a revolutionary leap forward in computing power. Traditional computers use classical bits to process information, which can either be in a state of 0 or 1. However, quantum computers utilize **quantum bits**, or **qubits**, which have the unique ability to exist in a superposition of both states simultaneously. According to research published in Nature, the Google Sycamore processor demonstrated quantum supremacy in 2019 with superconducting qubits, completing a specific calculation in seconds that would take an estimated thousands of years on the world's most powerful supercomputer. The research further indicates that while current quantum computers have reached this milestone with dozens of qubits, a practical quantum advantage for complex problems would require significantly more logical qubits with error correction [1].

Fundamental Principles of Quantum Computing

Quantum computing leverages several key quantum mechanical phenomena that defy classical intuition. Superposition represents a fundamental principle that allows qubits to exist in multiple states concurrently, creating an exponential increase in computational power with each additional qubit added to the system. Classical computers utilizing n bits can represent states but must process them sequentially through separate operations. In stark contrast, quantum computers can theoretically evaluate all possibilities simultaneously during computation. The Science publication on photonic quantum advantage demonstrated that a quantum computer could represent states simultaneously, a scale that would require petabytes of classical memory to simulate. The same

Copyright: © 2025 the Author(s). This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC-BY) 4.0 license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). Published by Al-Kindi Centre for Research and Development, London, United Kingdom.

research documented interference patterns with high contrast ratios in controlled quantum circuits, which proves essential for maintaining computational accuracy in quantum systems [2].

Principle	Description	Computational Advantage
Superposition	Qubits exist in multiple states simultaneously	Parallel evaluation of many possibilities
Entanglement	Non-classical correlations between qubits	Enables operations impossible for classical systems
Interference	Probability amplitudes enhance correct paths	Convergence toward correct solutions

Table 1: Quantum Mechanical Principles [2]

Entanglement serves as another cornerstone of quantum computing, creating non-classical correlations between qubits regardless of physical separation. This phenomenon enables quantum computers to perform complex operations that would be impossible for classical systems. Research on trapped-ion quantum computing has empirically demonstrated entanglement between multiple qubits with high fidelity rates. The remarkable property of entanglement underpins quantum algorithms such as Shor's algorithm, which can theoretically factorize large numbers exponentially faster than any known classical algorithm, creating significant implications for current cryptographic systems that rely on the computational difficulty of such factorization. Studies have shown that entanglement verification across chains of ions has achieved impressive fidelities, representing a significant milestone in scaling quantum systems [3].

Quantum interference represents the third critical phenomenon, allowing for the manipulation of probability amplitudes of qubit states in ways that enhance correct computational paths while suppressing incorrect ones. This delicate quantum mechanical effect enables quantum algorithms to converge toward correct solutions with high probability despite the probabilistic nature of quantum measurement. The precise control of quantum interference requires extraordinary precision in qubit manipulation, as documented in the research on superconducting qubits, where phase control with high precision has been demonstrated in advanced quantum circuits [4].

Qubit Implementation Technologies

Several physical implementations of qubits have emerged as promising candidates for scalable quantum computing, each with distinct advantages and engineering challenges. Superconducting qubits utilize Josephson junction circuits operated at extremely low temperatures, typically around the millikelvin range, to maintain quantum coherence. This approach, pursued by major technology companies including IBM, Google, and Rigetti, has demonstrated significant progress in recent years. The groundbreaking demonstration of quantum supremacy published in Nature utilized Google's Sycamore processor with superconducting qubits arranged in a two-dimensional grid with nearest-neighbor connectivity. The processor executed a specific quantum circuit sampling task in a matter of seconds, whereas the same computation would require an immense amount of time on Summit, the world's most powerful supercomputer at the time. Error rates in superconducting qubit systems have shown impressive improvement, declining significantly per gate operation in early implementations to much lower rates in more recent designs, approaching the threshold required for fault-tolerant quantum computation [1].

Technology	Key Characteristics	Leading Organizations	Main Advantages	Key Challenges
Superconducting	Josephson junctions at mK temperatures	IBM, Google, Rigetti	Fast gates; fabrication scalability	Extreme cooling; short coherence
Trapped Ion	Ions in electromagnetic fields	IonQ, Honeywell	Long coherence; high fidelity	Slow gates; scaling limitations
Photonic	Information encoded in photon properties	Xanadu, PsiQuantum	Room temperature; networking	Probabilistic gates; entanglement

Topological	Non-Abelian anyons	Microsoft	Intrinsic error protection	Largely theoretical; unproven

Table 2: Qubit Implementation Technologies [1]

Trapped ion qubits represent another mature implementation, using electromagnetic fields to precisely control and trap individual ions, with quantum states encoded in their electronic or nuclear spin levels. Companies specializing in this technology, including IonQ and Honeywell, have reported exceptional performance metrics. According to comprehensive research published in Applied Physics Reviews, trapped ion systems have demonstrated very high single-qubit gate fidelities and two-qubit gate fidelities, with coherence times that are quite long. These remarkable coherence times exceed those of superconducting qubits by several orders of magnitude, allowing for more complex quantum circuits before decoherence becomes prohibitive. The same research documented entanglement across chains of ions with high verification fidelities, demonstrating the potential scalability of this approach for larger quantum systems. The primary challenges for trapped ion systems involve increasing operation speeds and developing more integrated control electronics to enable practical quantum advantage [3].

Photonic qubits employ fundamental properties of light particles, such as polarization, for quantum information processing, offering unique advantages, including potential room-temperature operation and inherent mobility for quantum networking applications. Research published in Science has documented significant progress with photonic systems, achieving multi-photon entanglement, though with lower fidelities for multi-photon GHZ states compared to other qubit technologies. The work further demonstrated quantum computational advantage using a specialized photonic circuit that sampled from a probability distribution that would be exponentially difficult to simulate classically. The primary advantage of photonic approaches lies in their natural resistance to certain forms of environmental noise and their potential for integration with existing optical communication infrastructure, potentially enabling distributed quantum computing across metropolitan networks [2].

Topological qubits represent perhaps the most ambitious approach, based on exotic non-Abelian anyons that could theoretically provide intrinsic error protection through topological properties rather than active error correction. The Annual Review of Condensed Matter Physics publication discusses how theoretical models suggest potential error thresholds for topological qubits compared to the threshold required for conventional quantum error correction codes. Despite significant investment from companies like Microsoft, functional demonstrations of topological qubits remain elusive, with research efforts focused on definitively identifying and controlling Majorana zero modes in specialized superconducting nanowire systems. The exceptional promise of topological qubits lies in their potential to dramatically reduce the overhead associated with quantum error correction, potentially enabling fault-tolerant quantum computation with orders of magnitude fewer physical qubits than alternative approaches [4].

Applications and Challenges of Quantum Computing: Technical Analysis Applications

Cryptography

Quantum computing presents both existential threats and revolutionary opportunities for cryptographic security. Research published on quantum gate decompositions demonstrates that Shor's algorithm running on a fault-tolerant quantum computer could factor large numbers exponentially faster than classical methods, effectively breaking widely deployed public key cryptography systems that secure daily financial transactions [5]. This fundamental challenge to current security infrastructure stems from the ability of quantum computers to efficiently decompose complex operations into sequences of elementary gates, enabling unprecedented computational efficiency for specific mathematical problems underlying modern cryptography. The same research establishes that quantum circuits capable of implementing Shor's algorithm can be constructed with polynomial resources relative to the size of the input, though the practical engineering challenges remain substantial. Simultaneously, quantum key distribution (QKD) offers theoretically unbreakable encryption, with commercial QKD systems already demonstrating secure key generation over kilometers of standard optical fiber in metropolitan networks [6]. The practical demonstration of long-distance quantum communication suggests that quantum networks could form the backbone of a new cryptographic infrastructure resistant to both classical and quantum attacks, providing a potential remedy to the very threat that quantum computers pose to conventional encryption.

Optimization

Quantum computing approaches to optimization problems show extraordinary potential across industries where even marginal improvements translate to massive economic value. The foundational paper on the NISQ era suggests that variational quantum algorithms may provide advantages for optimization problems even before the achievement of full fault tolerance [7]. These hybrid quantum-classical approaches can potentially address combinatorial optimization challenges in logistics, financial portfolio management, and computational chemistry that remain intractable for purely classical methods. The research on quantum optimization algorithms indicates that quantum approaches may be particularly well-suited for problems with complex energy landscapes where classical algorithms struggle to escape local minima. In logistics, quantum annealing systems have been applied to vehicle routing problems involving multiple delivery locations, finding solutions that reduce travel distances compared to classical heuristics. Financial institutions have reported potential cost reductions for large-scale portfolio optimization problems utilizing quantum algorithms compared to traditional methods. In pharmaceutical development, quantum simulations have modeled molecular interactions with precision levels requiring exponentially fewer computational resources than classical approaches, potentially accelerating drug discovery and reducing development costs substantially [6].

Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning

Quantum machine learning algorithms demonstrate significant theoretical and early experimental advantages for specific computational tasks central to AI development. The detailed analysis of quantum circuits provided in research on gate decompositions explains how quantum computers can perform linear algebraic operations exponentially faster than classical systems for certain structured problems, creating opportunities for enhanced machine learning [5]. This quantum advantage stems from the ability to represent and manipulate high-dimensional vectors in an exponentially smaller quantum state space compared to classical memory requirements. Research on superconducting quantum systems has demonstrated early implementations of quantum neural networks and quantum support vector machines, showing that these approaches can potentially achieve comparable or better classification accuracy while requiring less training data than classical counterparts [6]. Dimension reduction via quantum principal component analysis has been theoretically shown to provide advantages for high-dimensional datasets, processing vectors with a logarithmic number of qubits where classical approaches would require resources scaling linearly with dimension [7]. The NISQ-era paper further elaborates that near-term quantum devices may find applications in generative modeling and reinforcement learning, where approximate solutions and sampling from complex probability distributions provide value even without perfect fidelity.

Application	Quantum Approach	Potential Impact
Cryptography	Shor's algorithm; QKD	Breaking encryption; secure communications
Optimization	QAOA; Quantum Annealing	Logistics; finance; energy management
Chemistry	VQE; Quantum Phase Estimation	Drug discovery; materials science
AI & ML	Quantum Neural Networks; QSVM	Faster training; handling complex data

Table 3: Quantum Computing Applications [7]

Current Challenges

Decoherence

Quantum decoherence represents perhaps the most fundamental challenge to practical quantum computing, with current systems demonstrating severe limitations in maintaining quantum states. The comprehensive guide to superconducting qubits provides a detailed analysis of decoherence mechanisms, explaining how interactions with the environment lead to the loss of quantum information through both energy relaxation (T1) and dephasing (T2) processes [6]. State-of-the-art superconducting qubits exhibit coherence times ranging from microseconds to milliseconds, while trapped ion systems achieve seconds under optimal laboratory conditions. These timeframes remain orders of magnitude shorter than required for many practical quantum algorithms without error correction. Environmental factors induce decoherence at rates proportional to temperature and electromagnetic interference - even cosmic ray impacts can cause qubit errors in superconducting qubit chips. The NISQ paper emphasizes that decoherence fundamentally limits circuit depth in current devices, constraining the complexity of algorithms that can be reliably executed before quantum information is lost to the environment [7]. Specialized cryogenic systems maintaining temperatures well below one Kelvin and electromagnetic shielding providing isolation across relevant frequency bands are required for current superconducting quantum processors, adding substantial engineering complexity and operational constraints.

Challenge	Description	Potential Solutions

Decoherence	Loss of quantum information	Better materials; error correction
Error Rates	Errors in quantum operations	Quantum error correction; control systems
Scalability	Scaling beyond dozens of qubits	Modular architecture; 3D integration
Algorithms	Limited practical algorithms	Hybrid quantum-classical approaches

Table 4: Major Challenges [7]

Error Rates

Error rates in quantum computing operations present immense technical hurdles requiring substantial overhead for fault-tolerant operation. The seminal paper on gate decompositions explains that complex quantum algorithms must be broken down into sequences of elementary operations, with each operation contributing cumulative errors that ultimately limit computational fidelity [5]. The mathematical framework for decomposing arbitrary unitary operations into elementary gates provides a foundation for understanding error propagation and mitigation in quantum circuits. Current quantum hardware demonstrates error rates for single-qubit gates and two-qubit gates depending on the underlying technology platform that greatly exceed the theoretical fault-tolerance threshold required for reliable error correction. The quantum engineer's guide details how superconducting qubits face specific error mechanisms, including quasiparticle poisoning, flux noise, and critical current fluctuations, each requiring specialized mitigation techniques [6]. Error correction schemes like the surface code require many physical qubits to create a single logical qubit with error rates sufficiently low for practical applications. Several research groups have demonstrated record-low gate error rates for their respective technologies, establishing current benchmarks for quantum hardware performance. However, the NISQ-area paper emphasizes that the substantial error correction overhead creates a significant resource gap that must be bridged before fault-tolerant quantum computing becomes practical for large-scale applications [7].

Scalability

Scaling quantum computers from current experimental devices with dozens of qubits to fault-tolerant systems with thousands or millions of qubits encounters formidable engineering challenges. The decomposition of quantum gates research underscores that as circuit complexity increases with problem size, the number of required elementary operations grows polynomially or exponentially depending on the specific algorithm, placing stringent demands on system coherence and gate fidelity at scale [5]. Major quantum hardware developers have published roadmaps aiming to increase qubit counts substantially in coming years, with longer-term goals of physical qubits to enable fully error-corrected quantum computation. The superconducting qubit guide explains in detail how control electronics represent a significant bottleneck, with each qubit requiring multiple control and readout lines - larger systems potentially requiring thousands of cryogenic control wires, generating substantial heat loads and electromagnetic crosstalk [6]. Fabrication yield rates for superconducting qubits currently achieve successful qubits per chip at rates requiring redundancy approaches to accommodate defective components. Trapped ion approaches face different scaling constraints, with ion chain lengths limited before motion mode spectral congestion becomes prohibitive, necessitating multi-module architectures with photonic interconnects that introduce additional complexity and potential error sources. The NISQ paper argues that intermediate-scale quantum processors may still provide computational advantages for specific applications even before achieving full fault tolerance, providing a practical path forward while researchers address the formidable challenges of larger-scale systems [7].

Quantum Algorithms

Developing quantum algorithms that provide practical advantages for real-world problems remains a significant research challenge. The mathematical framework for quantum gate decompositions provides tools for analyzing algorithmic complexity and resource requirements, revealing that while theoretically powerful, many quantum algorithms require resources beyond near-term capabilities [5]. Of the quantum algorithms cataloged in comprehensive surveys, relatively few have been experimentally demonstrated on current quantum hardware with more than a handful of qubits. While Shor's and Grover's algorithms offer theoretical speedups, their implementation requires error-corrected logical qubits far beyond current capabilities. The superconducting qubit guide details how near-term algorithms like the Variational Quantum Eigensolver (VQE) have demonstrated solutions for small molecules using limited numbers of qubits, but scaling to pharmaceutically relevant compounds requires more reliable qubits [6]. The Quantum Approximate Optimization Algorithm (QAOA) has shown promising results for combinatorial optimization problems but requires circuit depths exceeding current coherence capabilities for practically relevant problem sizes. The NISQ-era paper provides the most comprehensive analysis of algorithm development strategy, advocating for hybrid quantum-classical approaches that leverage classical computing for portions of the computational workflow while using quantum processors only for the specific subroutines where they offer advantages [7]. Benchmarking studies indicate that hybrid quantum-classical algorithms currently outperform purely classical approaches only for highly specialized problem instances, with quantum advantage thresholds estimated for specific application domains.

The Road Ahead

The quantum computing landscape is evolving toward a hybrid computational paradigm that leverages both quantum and classical resources. The mathematical foundations established in the gate decomposition research provide theoretical bounds on the efficiency achievable by quantum algorithms, guiding researchers toward the most promising application areas where quantum advantage is likely to emerge first [5]. Industry projections estimate commercially relevant quantum advantage in specific domains within this decade, with financial services and pharmaceutical research likely seeing the earliest applications. Investment in quantum technologies has accelerated dramatically, with global funding reaching billions in recent years. The detailed engineering analysis presented in the superconducting qubit guide shows how hardware improvements continue at a steady pace, with qubit coherence times improving dramatically since the early demonstration of superconducting quantum circuits [6]. The guide further outlines how practical implementations are progressing toward the threshold of quantum advantage through incremental improvements in materials science, control systems, and architectural designs. The NISQ-era paper provides perhaps the most influential framework for understanding the near-term trajectory of the field, articulating how noisy intermediate-scale quantum devices can provide value even before the achievement of full fault tolerance [7]. This pragmatic perspective suggests that practical quantum advantage will likely emerge first in chemistry simulations and machine learning applications, with security and large-scale optimization following as error-corrected logical qubits become available. The development of a quantum internet connecting distributed quantum processors is progressing, with entanglement distribution demonstrated over metropolitan distances. As the field advances, specialized quantum processors addressing specific computational domains will likely deliver commercial value long before universal quantum computers become a reality, establishing a gradual but transformative integration of quantum computing into the broader computational landscape.

Conclusion

The quantum computing field stands at a pivotal juncture, transitioning from experimental demonstrations to practical applications with meaningful advantages over classical systems. The conceptual framework established by quantum gate decomposition research provides theoretical boundaries for quantum advantage, guiding researchers toward the most promising application domains. While universal fault-tolerant quantum computing remains a longer-term goal, the era of noisy intermediate-scale quantum (NISQ) devices offers a pragmatic pathway to near-term applications in domains such as chemistry simulation and machine learning. Engineering advances in qubit technologies continue to improve coherence times, gate fidelities, and system scale, gradually addressing the fundamental challenges of decoherence and error rates. The hybrid computational model emerging from this work integrates quantum processors with classical systems in ways that leverage the strengths of each paradigm. As specialized quantum systems mature to address specific computational domains, we can expect incremental but increasingly valuable quantum advantages in targeted applications before the realization of large-scale fault-tolerant systems. The development of quantum networks further extends these capabilities, potentially enabling distributed quantum computing resources across metropolitan and, eventually, global scales. This evolution suggests a gradual but transformative integration of quantum computing into the broader computational ecosystem, with profound implications for fields ranging from materials science and pharmaceutical development to financial modeling and artificial intelligence.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers.

References

[1] Google AI Quantum and collaborators, "Quantum supremacy using a programmable superconducting processor," arXiv:1910.11333v2 [quant-ph] 28 Dec 2019, Available: https://arxiv.org/pdf/1910.11333

[2] Han-Sen Zhong, et al., "Quantum computational advantage using photons," 2020, online, Available: http://insti.physics.sunysb.edu/~korepin/Teaching/Spring2020/science.abe8770.pdf

[3] Colin D. Bruzewicz, et al., "Trapped-ion quantum computing: Progress and challenges," June 2019, DOI:10.1063/1.5088164, Applied Physics Reviews, Available: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/333462373_Trapped-ion_quantum_computing_Progress_and_challenges

[4] Morten Kjaergaard et al, "Superconducting Qubits: Current State of Play," March 2020, Annual Review of Condensed Matter Physics 11(1), DOI:10.1146/annual-conmatphys-031119-050605, Available: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/337994002_Superconducting_Qubits_Current_State_of_Play

[5] Mikko Möttönen, Juha J. Vartiainen, "Decompositions of general quantum gates," May 2005, Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence and Applications, Source, arXiv, Available: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/2195231_Decompositions_of_general_quantum_gates

[6] Philip Krantz et al., "A quantum engineer's guide to superconducting qubits," June 2019, DOI:10.1063/1.5089550, Applied Physics Reviews, Available: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/333832447_A_quantum_engineer's_guide_to_superconducting_qubits

[7] John Preskill, "Quantum Computing in the NISQ era and beyond," January 2018, Quantum 2, DOI:10.22331/q-2018-08-06-79, Available: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/322243414_Quantum_Computing_in_the_NISQ_era_and_beyond