Journal of Mathematics and Statistics Studies
ISSN: 2709-4200
DOI: 10.32996/jmss
Journal Homepage: www.al-kindipublisher.com/index.php/jmss

JMSS
AL-KINDI CENTER FOR RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

| RESEARCH ARTICLE

Jackson-Steklov Approximation Theory in Weighted Sobolev Spaces with Operations Research Applications

Faris M. Alwan[1]✉, Mohammed A. Hilal[2], and Alaa Adnan Auad[3]

[1]Department of Statistics, College of Administration and Economics, University of Baghdad, Baghdad, Iraq.
[2]Baquba Technical Institute, Middle Technical University, Baquba, Iraq.
[3]Department of Mathematics, College of Education for Pure Sciences, University of Anbar, Ramadi, Iraq.
Corresponding Author: Faris M. Alwan, **E-mail**: faris.m.alwan@coadec.uobaghdad.edu.iq

| ABSTRACT

This paper studies the theoretical framework that brings together the Jackson-Steklov theory of approximation in weighted Sobolev spaces and optimization techniques used in Operations Research (OR). In this work, several new direct Jackson-type theorems are established, and a detailed analysis of Steklov eigenvalues in weighted $L_{p,\eta}(X)$ spaces is carried out, along with error bounds and conditioning results for polynomial approximations. The results of this paper are intended for use in Operations Research, where stochastic programming with heavy-tailed distributions, PDE constrained optimization with irregular domains, and regularized inverse problems are considered. In this paper, key inequalities are established, which relate the approximation error with the modulus of continuity, as well as equivalence results for the K-functionals and the continuity module, and the role of Steklov eigenvalues in conditioning optimization problems discretized in weighted spaces. Numerical examples on standard problems in Operations Research support the results of this paper and show significant improvements in accuracy, conditioning, and efficiency over existing methods. This paper brings together abstract approximation theory and Operations Research optimization, which offers a firm foundation for the development of sophisticated computational methods in weighted function spaces.

| KEYWORDS

Weighted Sobolev spaces; operations research; Jackson theorem; Steklov eigenvalues; optimization; polynomial approximation.

| ARTICLE INFORMATION

ACCEPTED: 01 September 2025 **PUBLISHED:** 29 September 2025 **DOI:** 10.32996/jmss.2025.6.4.3

1. Introduction

Operations Research, or "OR," has undergone significant change since it was originally based on classical optimization. It is now based on sophisticated mathematical models for complex systems, including random elements, differential constraints, and decision spaces of infinite dimension [1,2] and [3]. Approximation theory, on the other hand, is now beyond the classical Jackson [4] and Steklov [5] theorem. It is now based on weighted spaces of Sobolev type, which are particularly well-suited for handling singularities and infinite domains [6] and [7].

1.1 Motivation and Research Gap

The main aim of the interdisciplinary science of Operations Research (OR) is to find optimality in complex systems. As the domain of its applications grows constantly, the complexity of the OR problem has been unprecedented. The return distribution of financial risk management models frequently displays heavy-tailed behavior. Conventional approximation methods do not guarantee satisfactory results for these difficult problems due to poor conditioning, slow convergence, and error control. Recent advances in extending classical approximation theory to weighted Sobolev spaces have shown considerable potential for tackling these difficulties. The classical Jackson theorem establishes the connection between function smoothness and approximation error by polynomial approximation. The extension to weighted spaces began with the work of Ditzian and Totik [6] on polynomial approximations with weights, and Maz'ya [7,14] on weighted Sobolev spaces. Wang [15] have further developed weighted approximation theory. In the OR domain, weighted norms appear in stochastic programming [16], Tikhonov regularization [17], and PDE-constrained optimization [18, 19]. However, explicit connections between weighted approximation error bounds and OR algorithm convergence properties remain limited in the literature. However, the integration of these advanced theoretical results with practical OR optimization methods remain largely unexplored. Partial differential equation constraints in engineering optimization are often defined in irregular regions [18], and solving inverse problems faces the challenge of ill-posedness [17]. These problems share the common characteristic that the function space containing the decision variables or random parameters is singular, unbounded, or non-uniform, making traditional approximation methods ineffective. The development of approximation theory has provided theoretical tools for solving these problems. Steklov's eigenvalue theory [5] established the connection between spectral analysis and function approximation. However, these classical theories mainly address uniform spaces over bounded regions. Meanwhile, the demand for weighed function spaces in the field of random programming (OR) is increasingly prominent. In stochastic programming, if the random variables have heavy tails, such as the Cauchy distribution or Pareto distribution, the L_2 theory is not applicable, and a weighted L_2 space is needed for the finiteness of moments [16]. The singularities of the solutions in the corners or boundaries also need the weighted Sobolev spaces for achieving the optimal order of convergence [19]. Some other related works in different spaces, such as fuzzy topological spaces and advanced spaces, can be found in [20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25]. The main reasons for this research come from the observation that, on the one hand, the theory of weighted Sobolev spaces approximation is rather complete, but this theory is only of pure mathematical interest, while, on the other hand, the necessity for weighted function spaces in OR problems is pressing, but there is a lack of theoretical guidance.

The purpose of this paper is to create a bridge connecting these two, extending the theory of Jackson-Steklov to weighted spaces, and proposing appropriate algorithms for solving common OR problems. The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 is for preliminary definitions. Section 3 is for developing Jackson-type theorems in weighted spaces. Section 4 is for Steklov eigenvalue problems. Section 5 is for numerical implementation. Section 6 is for introducing the results. Section 7 is for discussing the results. Section 8 is for conclusions and future research.

2. Preliminaries and Notation

2.1 Weighted Function Spaces

Suppose that $(X, \mathcal{F}, \eta)$ be a measure space with weight function such that $w: X \to \mathbb{R}^+$. For $1 \leq p < \infty$, and the weighted Lebesgue space $L_{p,\eta}(X)$ consists of measurable functions with
finite norm:

$$\|f\|_{p,\eta} = \left(\int_X |f(x)|^p w(x) d\eta(x)\right)^{1/p} \tag{2.1}$$

The weighted Sobolev space $W_w^{k,p}(X)$ includes functions which is weak derivatives up to order k belong to $L_{p,\eta}(X)$, with norm:

$$\|f\|_{W_w^{k,p}} = \left(\sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \|D^\alpha f\|_{p,\eta}^p\right)^{1/p} \tag{2.2}$$

Common weight functions in OR applications include:

1. Jacobi weights: $w(x) = (1-x)^\alpha(1+x)^\beta, x \in [-1,1], \alpha, \beta > -1$
2. Exponential weights: $w(x) = e^{-|x|^\gamma}, \gamma > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}$
3. Algebraic weights: $w(x) = (1 + \|x\|^2)^{-\alpha}, \alpha > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^d$
4. Rational weights: $w(x) = (1 + \|x\|^2)^{-\alpha/2}, \alpha > d, x \in \mathbb{R}^d$

2.2 Approximation Tools and Measures

Let $\mathcal{P}_n$ denote the space of polynomials of degree at most n. The best approximation error is defined as:

$$E_n(f)_{p,\eta} = \inf_{p_n \in \mathcal{P}_n} \|f - p_n\|_{p,\eta} \tag{2.3}$$

The r-th order modulus of continuity is given by:

$$\omega_r(f,t)_{p,\eta} = \sup_{0<h\leq t} \left\| \sum_{k=0}^{r} (-1)^{r-k} \binom{r}{k} f(\cdot + kh) \right\|_{p,\eta} \tag{2.4}$$

Peetre's K-functional [26] is defined as:

$$K_r(f,t)_{p,\eta} = \inf_{g\in W_w^{r,p}} \left\{ \|f-g\|_{p,\eta} + t^r \left\| g^{(r)} \right\|_{p,\eta} \right\} \tag{2.5}$$

Lemma 2.1 (Equivalence Lemma). For $f \in L_{p,\eta}(X)$, there exist constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that:

$$c_1\omega_r(f,t)_{p,\eta} \leq K_r(f,t)_{p,\eta} \leq c_2\omega_r(f,t)_{p,\eta} \tag{2.6}$$

Proof. The proof follows from the properties of the modulus of continuity and the K-functional, using techniques from interpolation theory. Detailed proof can be found in [6].

2.3 Operations Research Problem Classes

We consider three primary OR problem classes where weighted approximations are essential:

1. Stochastic Programming: Minimize $\mathbb{E}[F(x,\xi)]$ where ξ has density with unbounded support, requiring weighted L^2 spaces.
2. PDE-Constrained Optimization: Minimize $J(u)$ subject to $A(u) = f$ with $u \in W_w^{k,p}(\Omega)$, where Ω may be irregular or unbounded.
3. Regularized Inverse Problems: Minimize $\|Au - f\|^2 + \lambda\|u\|_{W_w^{k,p}}^p$ with A possibly illposed.

3. Jackson-Type Theorems in Weighted Sobolev Spaces

3.1 Main Theoretical Results

Theorem 3.1 (Weighted Jackson Inequality). Let $f \in W_w^{r,p}(X)$,$1 \leq p < \infty$, and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there exists a constant $C = C(r,p,w) > 0$ such that:

$$E_n(f)_{p,\eta} \leq Cn^{-r}\omega_r(f,n^{-1})_{p,\eta} \tag{3.1}$$

Also, if win A_p (Muckenhoupt class), then the constant C can be explicitly bounded.
Proof. We construct a polynomial approximation operator $L_n: L_{p,\eta}(X) \to \mathcal{P}_n$ satisfying:

$$\|L_n f\|_{p,\eta} \leq C_1\|f\|_{p,\eta} \tag{3.2}$$

$$\|f - L_n f\|_{p,\eta} \leq C_2\omega_r(f,n^{-1})_{p,\eta} \tag{3.3}$$

The modulus of continuity properties and weighted Bernstein inequalities are used in the construction. Using the methodology from [6], we define:

$$L_n f(x) = \int_X f(y)K_n(x,y)w(y)d\eta(y) \tag{3.4}$$

where $K_n(x, y)$ is the weighted polynomial kernel which satisfying the appropriate moment conditions. The boundedness of L_n can be provided from the Marcinkiewicz-Zygmund inequality of the weighted spaces.

Theorem 3.2 (Inverse Theorem). Let $f \in L_{p,\eta}(X)$ and suppose $E_n(f)_{p,\eta} = O(n^{-r})$. Then $f \in W_w^{r,p}(X)$ and:

$$\omega_r(f, t)_{p,\eta} \leq Ct^r \sum_{n=0}^{[1/t]} (n+1)^{r-1} E_n(f)_{p,\eta} \tag{3.5}$$

Proof. By apply the relation of the approximation errors and smoothness moduli, the proof provides traditional inverse theorems to weighted spaces. Here, we use a modified version of [9] for the weighted norms. Establishing the inequality is an important step.

$$\|\Delta_h^r f\|_{p,\eta} \leq C \sum_{k=0}^{r} \binom{r}{k} E_{[1/|h|]}(f)_{p,\eta} \tag{3.6}$$

where Δ_h^r denotes the r-th finite difference.

3.2 Corollaries and Extensions

Corollary 3.3 (Exponential Convergence). For analytic functions in weighted spaces with appropriate weights, the approximation error decays exponentially:

$$E_n(f)_{p,\eta} \leq Ce^{-cn} \tag{3.7}$$

Corollary 3.4 (Algebraic Convergence). For functions with limited smoothness, the approximation error decays algebraically:

$$E_n(f)_{p,\eta} \leq Cn^{-r} \tag{3.8}$$

Remark 3.5. The constants C in Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 depending on the weight functions w through its Muckenhoupt A_p constant. For practical applications, these constants can be estimated numerically.

4. Steklov Eigenvalue Problems in Weighted Spaces

4.1 Problem Formulation and Properties

The weighted Steklov eigenvalue problem on domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is:

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(w(x)\nabla u) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ w(x)\partial_\nu u = \sigma u & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases} \tag{4.1}$$

with eigenvalues $0 = \sigma_0 < \sigma_1 \leq \sigma_2 \leq \cdots \to \infty$ and corresponding eigenfunctions $\{u_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$.

Theorem 4.1 (Spectral Properties). For weight $w \in A_p$ (Muckenhoupt class), the Steklov eigenvalues satisfy:

$$\sigma_k \sim k^{1/(d-1)} \text{ as } k \to \infty \tag{4.2}$$

The eigenfunctions $\{u_k\}$ form a Riesz basis for $L^2(\partial\Omega, w)$.

Proof. The proof uses variational formulations and compact embedding results in weighted Sobolev spaces. We consider the variational formulation:

$$\int_{\Omega} w(x)\nabla u \cdot \nabla v dx = \sigma \int_{\partial\Omega} w(x) u v ds \ \forall v \in H^1(\Omega) \tag{4.3}$$

Compactness follows from the weighted trace theorem, and eigenvalue asymptotics are obtained using Courant's minimax principle adapted to weighted spaces.

4.2 Implications for Optimization Algorithms

In PDE-constrained optimization, the Karush-Kuhn-Tucker (KKT) system involves the operator:

$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B^* \\ B & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{4.4}$$

where B is the trace operator. The condition number $\kappa(\mathcal{A}_h)$ of the discretized system satisfies:

$$\kappa(\mathcal{A}_h) \leq C \frac{\sigma_{\max}(B_h)}{\sigma_{\min}(B_h)} \tag{4.5}$$

where $\sigma_{\max} / \sigma_{\min}$ are extreme Steklov eigenvalues.

Corollary 4.2 (Condition Number Bound). For finite element discretization with mesh size h, the condition number satisfies:

$$\kappa(\mathcal{A}_h) \leq C h^{-1} \frac{\sup_{\partial\Omega} w}{\inf_{\partial\Omega} w} \tag{4.6}$$

Proof. Theorem 4.1 and the characteristics of finite element discretizations lead to the proof. The weight ratio takes into consideration the variation of w on the boundary, whereas the factor h^{-1} is derived from the inverse inequality.

4.3 Stochastic Programming with Heavy-Tailed Distributions

We address stochastic programming problems of the form:

$$\min_{x \in X} \mathbb{E}[F(x,\xi)] = \min_{x \in X} \int_{\Xi} F(x,\xi)\rho(\xi) d\xi$$

where the random variable ξ possesses a probability density $\rho(\xi)$ with an unbounded support. To tackle this, we employ weighted polynomial chaos expansions:

$$F(x,\xi) \approx \sum_{k=0}^{n} c_k(x)\psi_k(\xi)$$

Here, $\{\psi_k\}$ represents a set of orthogonal polynomials with respect to the density ρ. The error bounds associated with this approximation are formally established by Theorem 3.1:

$$\left\| F(x,\cdot) - \sum_{k=0}^{n} c_k(x)\psi_k(\cdot) \right\|_{L^2_\rho} \leq C n^{-r} \omega_r(F(x,\cdot), n^{-1})_{L^2_\rho}$$

Algorithm 1 Weighted Polynomial Chaos for Stochastic Programming

Require: Objective $F(x,\xi)$, density $\rho(\xi)$, tolerance ϵ

Ensure: Optimal solution x^* with error certification

Compute orthogonal polynomials $\{\psi_k\}$ w.r.t. ρ using three-term recurrence

for each candidate solution x do
Compute coefficients $c_k(x) = \langle F(x,\cdot), \psi_k \rangle_{L^2_\rho}$
Estimate modulus $\omega_r(F(x,\cdot), n^{-1})_{L^2_\rho}$
Determine minimal n satisfying error bound $\leq \epsilon$
Evaluate approximation $\tilde{F}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} c_k(x)\mathbb{E}[\psi_k(\xi)]$
end for
Solve $\min_x \tilde{F}(x)$ using optimization algorithm
Return optimal x^* with error certification

Algorithm 1 gives the procedure for applying the Weighted Polynomial Chaos to Stochastic Programming. This algorithm help us computing orthogonal polynomials, determining coefficients, estimating the modulus of continuity, and iteratively finding the minimal polynomial degree n that satisfies the wanted error tolerance ϵ. The approximated objective function $\tilde{F}(x)$ is then can be minimized, and then we get an optimal solution x^* with certified error bounds.

4.4 PDE-Constrained Optimization on Irregular Domains
For optimization problems constrained by Partial Differential Equations (PDEs):

$$\min_{u \in U} J(u) \text{ subject to } A(u) = f$$

We use here the weighted finite element approximations when u is a member of the weighted Sobolev space $W_w^{k,p}(\Omega)$. This method works especially well for solutions that contain singularities. The choice of the weight function is crucial:

- Jacobi weights are appropriate for singularities at boundaries.
- Exponential weights are the best for exponential decay.
- Rational weights are most effective for solutions with algebraic decay.

Corollary 4.2 offers recommendations for choosing weight functions that minimize the discretized systems' condition numbers.

4.5 Regularized Inverse Problems
In the context of ill-posed inverse problems $Au = f$, we consider weighted Tikhonov regularization:

$$\min_u \|Au - f\|^2 + \lambda \|u\|^2_{W_w^{1,2}}$$

The optimality condition for this problem leads to the system:

$$(A^*A + \lambda L_w)u = A^*f$$

where L_w denotes the weighted Laplacian. Theorem 4.1 offers insights into the eigenvalues of this system, which are crucial for analyzing regularization properties and determining the optimal regularization parameter λ.

5. Numerical Implementation and Algorithm
This section details the algorithmic design and computational aspects of the proposed methodology.

5.1 Algorithm Design

Algorithm 2 Start by Adaptive Weighted Approximation for OR Problems
Require: OR problem, tolerance epsilon, initial weight w_0
Then we ensure: Approximate solution is ok with certified error bound
After that we Analyzing problem characteristics (singularities, unboundedness, smoothness)
We Selecting appropriate weight function class based on analysis
Estimate smoothness parameters r and p
Determine initial polynomial degree n_0 using Theorem 3.1
while estimated error > epsilon do
Compute weighted polynomial approximation
Estimate approximation error using Theorem 3.1
Estimate conditioning using Steklov eigenvalues (Theorem 4.1)
Adjust weight parameters if conditioning exceeds threshold
Increase polynomial degree if error too large
end while
Returning final approximation with error certificate

Algorithm 2 provides the adaptive weighted approximation method to solving OR problems. The method start by identifying the characteristics of the problem, in a way that singularities, unboundedness, and smoothness, and choosing an appropriate class of the weight functions. The smoothness of the function is characterized by using parameters r and p, and the initial polynomial degree is chosen from using Theorem 3.1. The method iteratively enhance the weighted polynomial approximations, computes errors by the use of Theorem 3.1, and checking for conditioning using Steklov eigenvalues (Theorem 4.1), adjusting the weight functions and polynomial degrees appropriately until the desired accuracy is achieved within a tolerance level ϵ.

5.2 Computational Aspects

Weight Selection: We leverage Muckenhoupt A_p theory to guarantee the boundedness of projection operators. For exponential weights of the form $w(x) = e^{-|x|^\gamma}$, the optimal exponent γ_{opt} is found by minimizing the ratio of maximum to minimum weight values across the domain:

$$\gamma_{\text{opt}} = \arg\min_{\gamma>0} \frac{\sup_{\Omega} e^{-|x|^\gamma}}{\inf_{\Omega} e^{-|x|^\gamma}}$$

Polynomial Basis Construction: Orthogonal polynomials are constructed using three-term recurrence relations:

$$\psi_{n+1}(x) = (x - \alpha_n)\psi_n(x) - \beta_n \psi_{n-1}(x)$$

The coefficients α_n and β_n are computed using a modified Chebyshev algorithm suitable for general weights. Error Estimation: The modulus of continuity is estimated using finite differences with adaptive step sizes, as shown:

$$\omega_r(f,t)_{p,\eta} \approx \max_{h\in\mathcal{H}} \left\| \sum_{k=0}^{r} (-1)^{r-k} \binom{r}{k} f(\cdot + kh) \right\|_{p,\eta}$$

where $\mathcal{H}$ is a carefully curated set of step sizes.

6. Numerical Experiments

The way of performance of different approximation schemes can be shown in Tables 1, 2, and 3. In Table 1, we compare standard and weighted polynomial chaos methods for the optimization problems, demonstrating clear improvement in both approximation error and condition number for weighted polynomial chaos. In Table 2, we show the effect of different weight functions on the accuracy and condition number of a PDE-constrained optimal control problem. In Table 3, we analyzing the condition numbers for regularized inverse problems using different weight functions and regularization parameters.

Table 1: Comparison of approximation methods for portfolio optimization ($p = 2$)

Method	Degree n	Approximation Error	Condition Number	Computation Time (s)
Standard Polynomial Chaos	10	2.3×10^{-3}	45.2	12.5
Weighted Polynomial Chaos	10	8.7×10^{-5}	18.7	14.2
Standard Polynomial Chaos	20	5.6×10^{-4}	452.1	28.7
Weighted Polynomial Chaos	20	1.2×10^{-6}	32.5	31.4

6.1 Performance Analysis

The numerical experiments reveal several key advantages of employing weighted approximations:

Table 2: Performance on optimal control problem with singular forcing

Weight Function	FE Nodes	L^2 Error	$\kappa(\mathcal{A}_h)$	Iterations
No weight	1000	1.8×10^{-2}	1450	125
Jacobi weight	1000	3.2×10^{-3}	387	48
Exponential weight	1000	2.1×10^{-4}	325	32

Table 3: Condition numbers for regularized inverse problems

Weight $w(x)$	$\lambda = 0.1$	$\lambda = 0.01$	$\lambda = 0.001$
1 (unweighted)	45.2	452.1	4518.3
$(1 - x^2)^{-1/2}$ (Jacobi)	38.7	387.4	3872.9
e^{-x^2} (Exponential)	32.5	325.1	3250.8

- Superior Accuracy: Weighted methods achieve accuracy gains of 1 to 3 orders of magnitude for comparable computational effort.
- Improved Conditioning: Judicious weight selection leads to condition number reductions by factors of 3 to 14.
- Faster Convergence: Optimization algorithms benefit from improved conditioning, requiring 60-75% fewer iterations.
- Robust Regularization: Weighted approaches yield better-conditioned systems for inverse problems across a range of regularization parameters.

7. Discussion of Results

Significance of the theoretical results for approximation theory and operations research: The theoretical results established in this paper have considerable significance for approximation theory and operations research. Among them, the weighted Jackson inequality established by the present paper (Theorem 3.1) generalizes the classical approximation theory to the case of singular functions and functions defined on unbounded domains, which often occur in operations research problems. An important relationship between the weighting function and the conditioning of discrete optimization problems is established by Steklov's eigenvalue analysis, as given in Theorem 4.1. A criterion for choosing the weighting function that minimizes the conditioning is given by corollary 4.2. The Numerical experiments validates the affect of the theoretical work and demonstrate its practical advantages in operations research:

7.1 Stochastic Programming

In the case of heavy-tailed returns, the weighted polynomial chaotic expansion reduces the error by a factor of 26.4 compared to conventional methods, which is 26.4 times for n=10 and 467 times for n=20. This is a critical factor for risk management, which depends on the precise estimation of distribution.

7.2 Constrained Partial Differential Equation Optimization

With the studied weighted approximation method of the optimal control problems with singular boundary constraints, the well known L^2 error can be reduced by the factor from 5.6 (for Jacobi weights) to 85.7 (for exponential weights). This reduce factor is for the conditioning factor (from 3.8 to 4.5 times) level up to the convergence of the algorithm.

7.2.1 Inverse Problems

In the case of regularized inverse problems, the studied weighted method provide the problem systematically better conditioned for all regularization parameters. This also give the problem less sensitive to the regularization parameters, which are generally not easy to determine accurately in real world.

8. Conclusion

This paper has established a comprehensive theoretical and computational framework for integrating Jackson-Steklov approximation theory in weighted Sobolev spaces with Operations Re-search optimization methods. Specifically, our main contributions to approximation theory and optimization research include:

1. The development of weighted Jackson-type theorems with explicit error bounds for polynomial approximation
2. The study of Steklov eigenvalue problems in weighted spaces and their implications for optimization algorithm conditioning
3. The development of efficient algorithms for OR applications using weighted polynomial approximation
4. The numerical validation of our results to show significant improvements over traditional approximation methods

The proposed methodology establishes a connection between abstract approximation theory and practical OR optimization. This provides a rigorous foundation for developing sophisticated computational methods by harnessing the power of weighted function spaces. Our results demonstrate that appropriate weight selection is critical for improving accuracy and efficiency of difficult OR optimization problems.

In conclusion, our next steps would be to extend our framework to include nonlinear weighted approximation theory and to explore new OR domains.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

[1] Nocedal, J., & Wright, S. J. (2006). Numerical Optimization (2nd ed.). Springer.

[2] Shapiro, A., Dentcheva, D., & Ruszczyński, A. (2021). Lectures on Stochastic Programming: Modeling and Theory (3rd ed.). SIAM.

[3] Alaa Adnan Auad, & Mohammed A. Hilal (2024). Some results on approximation in neutrosophic normed space International Journal of Neutrosophic Sciences (IJNS) Vol. 24, No. 04, PP. 389-396.

[4] Jackson, D. (1930). The Theory of Approximation. American Mathematical Society.

[5] Steklov, V. A. (1902). Sur les problèmes fondamentaux de la physique mathématique. Annales Scientifiques de l'École Normale Supérieure, 19, 455-490.

[6] Ditzian, Z., & Totik, V. (1996). Moduli of Smoothness. Springer.

[7] Maz'ya, V. G. (2011). Sobolev Spaces with Applications to Elliptic Partial Differential Equations (2nd ed.). Springer.

[8] DeVore, R. A., & Lorentz, G. G. (1993). Constructive Approximation. Springer.

[9] Timan, A. F. (1963). Theory of Approximation of Functions of a Real Variable. Pergamon Press.

[10] Chen, W. (2005). Introduction to Modern Approximation Theory. Science Press.

[11] Mastroianni, G., & Totik, V. (2000). Weighted polynomial inequalities with doubling and A_∞ weights. Constructive Approximation, 16(1), 37-71.

[12] Alaa Adnan Auad, & Mohammed A. Hilal (2025). Direct and converse approximation theorems in neutrosophic $L_{p,\eta}(u)$ space International Journal of Neutrosophic Sciences (IJNS) Vol. 25, No. 02, PP. 57-63.

[13] A. Auad Alaa and H. Klaeif,(2022), Best co-proximal and Co-chebyshev of unbounded functions ,Journal of Physics: Conference Series 2322, 012033.

[14] Adams, R. A., & Fournier, J. J. F. (2003). Sobolev Spaces (2nd ed.). Academic Press.

[15] Wang, X. (2012). Numerical Approximation Methods. Higher Education Press.

[16] Øksendal, B. K., & Sulem, A. (2019). Applied Stochastic Control of Jump Diffusions (4th ed.). Springer.

[17] Tikhonov, A. N., & Arsenin, V. Y. (1977). Solutions of Ill-Posed Problems. Winston & Sons.

[18] Brenner, S. C., & Scott, L. R. (2008). The Mathematical Theory of Finite Element Methods (3rd ed.). Springer.

[19] Ciarlet, P. G. (2002). The Finite Element Method for Elliptic Problems. SIAM.

[20] Liu, J., Nadeem, M., Ali, A. H., Alotaibi, F. M., & Iambor, L. F. (2024). Analytical investigation of two-dimensional fuzzy fractional heat problem using a modified approach. Alexandria Engineering Journal, 108, 158-168.

[21] Faizi, S., ur Rehman, A., Ali, A. H., Javed, K., & Mzili, T. (2024). Some Notions of Hesitant Fuzzy Linguistic Graphs with Application in Decision Making. Fuzzy Information and Engineering, 16(4), 265-284.

[22] Almubarak, H., Madhuri, V., Abdulsattar, H., Rowthri, M., & Ali, A. H. (2026). Fuzzy One-Point Compactification In Fuzzy Semi-Spectral Spaces. Nonlinear Functional Analysis and Applications, 303-316.

[23] Ansari, A., Ahmad, N., & Ali, A. H. (2025). On Solving Volterra Integro-Differential Equation Using New Modified Adomian Decomposition Method. International Journal of Applied Mathematics, 38(4), 497-511.

[24] Nazeer, S., Hussain, M., Rehman, A. U., & Ali, A. H. (2025). On metric dimension of symmetrical planer pyramid related graphs. Afrika Matematika, 36(1), 54.

[25] Alwan, F. M., Archana, D. K., Prakasha, D. G., & Ali, A. H. (2025). Computational optimization of fractional-order influenza SIR epidemic modeling using two effective techniques. Commun. Math. Biol. Neurosci., 2025, Article-ID.

[26] Peetre, J. (1975). On the Theory of $\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda}$ Spaces. Journal of Functional Analysis, 4, 71-87.